Analysis of a Solution to a Synchronization Problem

In discussion section, I presented the following solution to the following problem.

Problem. A binary semaphore is most commonly defined as a semaphore whose integer value can range only between 0 and 1. Implement the usual type of semaphore using binary semaphores.

I gave the following solution:

```
1
      type semaphore = record of
         value : integer = 0; (* value of the usual semaphore *)
bsem : binsemaphore = 0; (* semaphore for block *)
 2
 3
 4
         mutex : binsemaphore = 1; (* semaphore for mutual exclusion *)
 5
      end;
 6
 7
      procedure udown(s: semaphore)
 8
     begin
 9
         down(s.mutex);
10
         if s.value = 0 then begin
11
           up(s.mutex);
12
           down(s.bsem);
13
           down(s.mutex);
14
        end;
15
        s.value := s.value - 1;
16
        up(S.mutex);
17
     end;
18
19
     procedure uup(s : semaphore)
21
     begin
22
        down(s.mutex);
        if s.value = 0 then
23
           up(s.bsem);
24
25
         s.value := s.value + 1;
26
        up(s.mutex);
     end;
27
```

The basic idea of this solution is to synchronize the *uup* and the *down* of the usual semaphores using *bsem*. The field *value* keeps track of the value of the usual semaphore. Because two processes may be calling these functins simultaneously, we need to ensure mutual exclusion; the semaphore field *mutex* does this. Note the *down(s.bsem)* is done outside this area of mutual exclusion, to prevent deadlock.

I also encouraged students to try to find problems with all solutions to synchronization problems, including (indeed, especially) with the ones I gave. A student did, and found a problem. The above solution does not work.

Here is a demonstration. Suppose we have 3 processes, p, q, and r sharing a semaphore s, initialized to 0.

- 1. Process p calls udown(s) first and enters the region of mutual exclusion. It releases mutual exclusion at line 11 and blocks at line 12.
- 2. Process q calls uup(s). At line 24, it increments *s.value*, and process p unblocks. At this point, *s.value* is 1.
- 3. Process q does not advance further at this time.
- 4. Before process q can exit the uup call, a third process r calls udown(s). It blocks at line 9.
- 5. Process q exits the *uup* call. At this point, *s.value* is 1.
- 6. Process r unblocks, and at line 10, as s.value is 1, the process immediately goes to line 15.
- 7. Process *r* unblocks, and at line 10, as *s.value* is 1, the process immediately goes to line 15. Now, *s.value* becomes 0, and process *r* leaves *udown*.
- 8. Process p now continues. It leaves the function udown, resetting s.value to -1.

Let us review what happened. The initial value of *s* was 0. One process called *uup* and two called *udown*. If the semaphore were correctly implemented, one process would still be blocked on *udown*. But as the above shows, *no* processes are blocked. So the soltion is flawed.

So, how do we do this right? The problem is that the process blocked on *udown* unblocked and *then* tried to re-enter the zone of mutual excluson. That cannot happen until the unblocking process leaves *uup*. There is a

gap between the leaving of *uup* and the taking of mutual exclusion by the now-unblocked process in *udown*.

So, what we can do is simply not release mutual exclusion at the end of *uup*. Basically, we look at *s.value*. If that is non-zero, no process is blocked on the semaphore, so we release mutual exclusion. If it is zero, a process is blocked on the semaphore, so we release the blocked process. That is the basis for the following solution:

```
1
      type semaphore = record of
         value : integer = 0; (* value of the usual semaphore *)
bsem : binsemaphore = 0; (* semaphore for block *)
mutex : binsemaphore = 1; (* semaphore for mutual exclusion *)
 2
 3
 4
 5
      end;
 6
 7
      procedure udown(s: semaphore)
 8
      begin
 9
          down(s.mutex);
10
          s.value := s.value - 1;
          if s.value < 0 then begin
11
12
             up(s.mutex);
13
             down(s.bsem);
14
         end:
15
         up(S.mutex);
      end;
16
17
18
      procedure uup(s : semaphore)
19
      begin
20
         down(s.mutex);
21
          s.value := s.value + 1;
          if s.value < 0 then
22
23
             up(s.bsem);
24
          else
25
             up(s.mutex);
26
      end;
```

Note the manipulations of *s.value* moves before the conditional. This prevents two processes from manipulating that field within the zone of mutual exclusion. Also, right after releasing the blocked semaphore, the process in *uup* exits that function.