

# Chapter 13: Design Principles

---

- Overview
- Principles
  - Least Privilege
  - Fail-Safe Defaults
  - Economy of Mechanism
  - Complete Mediation
  - Open Design
  - Separation of Privilege
  - Least Common Mechanism
  - Psychological Acceptability

# Overview

---

- **Simplicity**
  - Less to go wrong
  - Fewer possible inconsistencies
  - Easy to understand
- **Restriction**
  - Minimize access
  - Inhibit communication

# Least Privilege

---

- A subject should be given only those privileges necessary to complete its task
  - Function, not identity, controls
  - Rights added as needed, discarded after use
  - Minimal protection domain

# Fail-Safe Defaults

---

- Default action is to deny access
- If action fails, system as secure as when action began

# Economy of Mechanism

---

- Keep it as simple as possible
  - KISS Principle
- Simpler means less can go wrong
  - And when errors occur, they are easier to understand and fix
- Interfaces and interactions

# Complete Mediation

---

- Check every access
- Usually done once, on first action
  - UNIX: Access checked on open, not checked thereafter
- If permissions change after, may get unauthorized access

# Open Design

---

- Security should not depend on secrecy of design or implementation
  - Popularly misunderstood to mean that source code should be public
  - “Security through obscurity”
  - Does not apply to information such as passwords or cryptographic keys

# Separation of Privilege

---

- Require multiple conditions to grant privilege
  - Separation of duty
  - Defense in depth



# Least Common Mechanism

---

- Mechanisms should not be shared
  - Information can flow along shared channels
  - Covert channels
- Isolation
  - Virtual machines
  - Sandboxes

# Psychological Acceptability

---

- Security mechanisms should not add to difficulty of accessing resource
  - Hide complexity introduced by security mechanisms
  - Ease of installation, configuration, use
  - Human factors critical here

# Key Points

---

- Principles of secure design underlie all security-related mechanisms
- Require:
  - Good understanding of goal of mechanism and environment in which it is to be used
  - Careful analysis and design
  - Careful implementation

# Chapter 2: Access Control Matrix

---

- Overview
- Access Control Matrix Model
  - Boolean Expression Evaluation
  - History
- Protection State Transitions
  - Commands
  - Conditional Commands
- Special Rights
  - Principle of Attenuation of Privilege

# Overview

---

- Protection state of system
  - Describes current settings, values of system relevant to protection
- Access control matrix
  - Describes protection state precisely
  - Matrix describing rights of subjects
  - State transitions change elements of matrix

# Description

---

objects (entities)

	$O_1$	...	$O_m$	$S_1$	...	$S_n$
$S_1$						
$S_2$						
...						
$S_n$						

subjects

- Subjects  $S = \{ s_1, \dots, s_n \}$
- Objects  $O = \{ o_1, \dots, o_m \}$
- Rights  $R = \{ r_1, \dots, r_k \}$
- Entries  $A[s_i, o_j] \subseteq R$
- $A[s_i, o_j] = \{ r_x, \dots, r_y \}$  means subject  $s_i$  has rights  $r_x, \dots, r_y$  over object  $o_j$

# Example 1

---

- Processes  $p, q$
- Files  $f, g$
- Rights  $r, w, x, a, o$

	$f$	$g$	$p$	$q$
$p$	$rwo$	$r$	$rwxo$	$w$
$q$	$a$	$ro$	$r$	$rwxo$

# Example 2

---

- Procedures *inc\_ctr*, *dec\_ctr*, *manage*
- Variable *counter*
- Rights +, −, *call*

	<i>counter</i>	<i>inc_ctr</i>	<i>dec_ctr</i>	<i>manage</i>
<i>inc_ctr</i>	+			
<i>dec_ctr</i>	−			
<i>manage</i>		<i>call</i>	<i>call</i>	<i>call</i>



# Boolean Expression Evaluation

---

- ACM controls access to database fields
  - Subjects have attributes
  - Verbs define type of access
  - Rules associated with objects, verb pair
- Subject attempts to access object
  - Rule for object, verb evaluated, grants or denies access

# Example

---

- Subject annie
  - Attributes role (artist), groups (creative)
- Verb paint
  - Default 0 (deny unless explicitly granted)
- Object picture
  - Rule:  
paint: ‘artist’ in subject.role and  
‘creative’ in subject.groups and  
time.hour  $\geq 0$  and time.hour  $< 5$

# ACM at 3AM and 10AM

---

At 3AM, time condition met; ACM is:

... picture ...

...	annie	...			
...	annie	...		paint	
...	annie	...			

At 10AM, time condition not met; ACM is:

... picture ...

...	annie	...			
...	annie	...			
...	annie	...			

# History

---

Database:

<b>name</b>	<b>position</b>	<b>age</b>	<b>salary</b>
Alice	teacher	45	\$40,000
Bob	aide	20	\$20,000
Cathy	principal	37	\$60,000
Dilbert	teacher	50	\$50,000
Eve	teacher	33	\$50,000

Queries:

1.  $\text{sum}(\text{salary}, \text{"position = teacher"}) = 140,000$

2.  $\text{sum}(\text{salary}, \text{"age > 40 \& position = teacher"})$  should not be answered (deduce Eve's salary)

# ACM of Database Queries

---

$O_i = \{ \text{objects referenced in query } i \}$

$f(o_i) = \{ \text{read} \}$  for  $o_j \in O_i$ , if  $|\cap_{j=1,\dots,i} O_j| < 2$

$f(o_i) = \emptyset$  for  $o_j \in O_i$ , otherwise

1.  $O_1 = \{ \text{Alice, Dilbert, Eve} \}$  and no previous query set,  
so:

$A[\text{asker, Alice}] = f(\text{Alice}) = \{ \text{read} \}$

$A[\text{asker, Dilbert}] = f(\text{Dilbert}) = \{ \text{read} \}$

$A[\text{asker, Eve}] = f(\text{Eve}) = \{ \text{read} \}$

and query can be answered

# But Query 2

---

From last slide:

$$f(o_i) = \{ \text{read} \} \quad \text{for } o_j \in O_i, \text{ if } |\cap_{j=1,\dots,i} O_j| < 2$$

$$f(o_i) = \emptyset \quad \text{for } o_j \in O_i, \text{ otherwise}$$

2.  $O_2 = \{ \text{Alice, Dilbert} \}$  but  $|O_2 \cap O_1| = 2$  so

$$A[\text{asker, Alice}] = f(\text{Alice}) = \emptyset$$

$$A[\text{asker, Dilbert}] = f(\text{Dilbert}) = \emptyset$$

and query cannot be answered

# State Transitions

---

- Change the protection state of system
- $H$  represents transition
  - $X_i H_\tau X_{i+1}$ : command  $\tau$  moves system from state  $X_i$  to  $X_{i+1}$
  - $X_i H^* X_{i+1}$ : a sequence of commands moves system from state  $X_i$  to  $X_{i+1}$
- Commands often called *transformation procedures*

# Primitive Operations

---

- **create subject  $s$ ; create object  $o$** 
  - Creates new row, column in ACM; creates new column in ACM
- **destroy subject  $s$ ; destroy object  $o$** 
  - Deletes row, column from ACM; deletes column from ACM
- **enter  $r$  into  $A[s,o]$** 
  - Adds  $r$  rights for subject  $s$  over object  $o$
- **delete  $r$  from  $A[s,o]$** 
  - Removes  $r$  rights from subject  $s$  over object  $o$



# Create Subject

---

- Precondition:  $s \notin S$
- Primitive command: **create subject  $s$**
- Postconditions:
  - $S' = S \cup \{ s \}, O' = O \cup \{ s \}$
  - $(\forall y \in O')[a'[s, y] = \emptyset], (\forall x \in S')[a'[x, s] = \emptyset]$
  - $(\forall x \in S)(\forall y \in O)[a'[x, y] = a[x, y]]$

# Create Object

---

- Precondition:  $o \notin O$
- Primitive command: **create object  $o$**
- Postconditions:
  - $S' = S, O' = O \cup \{ o \}$
  - $(\forall x \in S')[a'[x, o] = \emptyset]$
  - $(\forall x \in S)(\forall y \in O)[a'[x, y] = a[x, y]]$

# Add Right

---

- Precondition:  $s \in S, o \in O$
- Primitive command: enter  $r$  into  $a[s, o]$
- Postconditions:
  - $S' = S, O' = O$
  - $a'[s, o] = a[s, o] \cup \{ r \}$
  - $(\forall x \in S')(\forall y \in O' - \{ o \}) [a'[x, y] = a[x, y]]$
  - $(\forall x \in S' - \{ s \})(\forall y \in O') [a'[x, y] = a[x, y]]$

# Delete Right

---

- Precondition:  $s \in S, o \in O$
- Primitive command: **delete  $r$  from  $a[s, o]$**
- Postconditions:
  - $S' = S, O' = O$
  - $a'[s, o] = a[s, o] - \{ r \}$
  - $(\forall x \in S')(\forall y \in O' - \{ o \}) [a'[x, y] = a[x, y]]$
  - $(\forall x \in S' - \{ s \})(\forall y \in O') [a'[x, y] = a[x, y]]$

# Destroy Subject

---

- Precondition:  $s \in S$
- Primitive command: **destroy subject  $s$**
- Postconditions:
  - $S' = S - \{ s \}, O' = O - \{ s \}$
  - $(\forall y \in O')[a'[s, y] = \emptyset], (\forall x \in S')[a'[x, s] = \emptyset]$
  - $(\forall x \in S')(\forall y \in O') [a'[x, y] = a[x, y]]$

# Destroy Object

---

- Precondition:  $o \in O$
- Primitive command: **destroy object  $o$**
- Postconditions:
  - $S' = S, O' = O - \{ o \}$
  - $(\forall x \in S')[a'[x, o] = \emptyset]$
  - $(\forall x \in S')(\forall y \in O') [a'[x, y] = a[x, y]]$

# Creating File

---

- Process  $p$  creates file  $f$  with  $r$  and  $w$  permission

**command** *create*•*file*( $p, f$ )  
**create object**  $f$ ;  
**enter** *own* into  $A[p, f]$ ;  
**enter**  $r$  into  $A[p, f]$ ;  
**enter**  $w$  into  $A[p, f]$ ;  
**end**

# Mono-Operational Commands

---

- Make process  $p$  the owner of file  $g$   
**command** *make-owner*( $p, g$ )  
    **enter own into**  $A[p, g]$ ;  
**end**
- Mono-operational command
  - Single primitive operation in this command



# Conditional Commands

---

- Let  $p$  give  $q$   $r$  rights over  $f$ , if  $p$  owns  $f$   
**command**  $grant \bullet read \bullet file \bullet l(p, f, q)$   
**if own in**  $A[p, f]$   
**then**  
**enter**  $r$  **into**  $A[q, f];$   
**end**
- Mono-conditional command
  - Single condition in this command

# Multiple Conditions

---

- Let  $p$  give  $q$   $r$  and  $w$  rights over  $f$ , if  $p$  owns  $f$  and  $p$  has  $c$  rights over  $q$   
**command**  $grant \bullet read \bullet file \bullet 2(p, f, q)$   
**if**  $own$  **in**  $A[p, f]$  **and**  $c$  **in**  $A[p, q]$   
**then**  
**enter**  $r$  **into**  $A[q, f];$   
**enter**  $w$  **into**  $A[q, f];$   
**end**

# Copy Right

---

- Allows possessor to give rights to another
- Often attached to a right, so only applies to that right
  - $r$  is read right that cannot be copied
  - $rc$  is read right that can be copied
- Is copy flag copied when giving  $r$  rights?
  - Depends on model, instantiation of model

# Own Right

---

- Usually allows possessor to change entries in ACM column
  - So owner of object can add, delete rights for others
  - May depend on what system allows
    - Can't give rights to specific (set of) users
    - Can't pass copy flag to specific (set of) users

# Attenuation of Privilege

---

- Principle says you can't give rights you do not possess
  - Restricts addition of rights within a system
  - Usually *ignored* for owner
    - Why? Owner gives herself rights, gives them to others, deletes her rights.

# Key Points

---

- Access control matrix simplest abstraction mechanism for representing protection state
- Transitions alter protection state
- 6 primitive operations alter matrix
  - Transitions can be expressed as commands composed of these operations and, possibly, conditions

# Chapter 3: Foundational Results

---

- Overview
- Harrison-Ruzzo-Ullman result
  - Corollaries
- Take-Grant Protection Model
- SPM and successors

# Overview

---

- Safety Question
- HRU Model
- Take-Grant Protection Model
- SPM, ESPM
  - Multiparent joint creation
- Expressive power
- Typed Access Matrix Model



# What Is “Secure”?

---

- Adding a generic right  $r$  where there was not one is “leaking”
- If a system  $S$ , beginning in initial state  $s_0$ , cannot leak right  $r$ , it is *safe with respect to the right  $r$* .

# Safety Question

---

- Does there exist an algorithm for determining whether a protection system  $S$  with initial state  $s_0$  is safe with respect to a generic right  $r$ ?
  - Here, “safe” = “secure” for an abstract model

# Mono-Operational Commands

---

- Answer: *yes*
  - Sketch of proof:
    - Consider minimal sequence of commands  $c_1, \dots, c_k$  to leak the right.
    - Can omit **delete**, **destroy**
    - Can merge all **creates** into one
- Worst case: insert every right into every entry; with  $s$  subjects and  $o$  objects initially, and  $n$  rights, upper bound is  $k \leq n(s+1)(o+1)$