

Outline for October 7, 2014

Reading: *text*, §3.1–3.2; paper [TL13]

1. Primitive operations
 - a. **enter** r **into** $A[s, o]$
 - b. **delete** r **from** $A[s, o]$
 - c. **create subject** s (note that $\forall x[A[s', x] = A[x, s'] = \emptyset]$)
 - d. **create object** o (note that $\forall x[A[x, o'] = \emptyset]$)
 - e. **destroy subject** s
 - f. **destroy object** o
2. Commands and examples
 - a. Regular command: *create·file*
 - b. Mono-operational command: *make·owner*
 - c. Conditional command: *grant·rights*
 - d. Biconditional command: *grant·read·if·r·and·c*
 - e. Doing “or” of 2 conditions: *grant·read·if·r·or·c*
3. Miscellaneous points
 - a. Copy flag and right
 - b. Own as a distinguished right
 - c. Principle of attenuation of privilege
4. What is the safety question?
 - a. An unauthorized state is one in which a generic right r could be leaked into an entry in the ACM that did not previously contain r . An initial state is safe for r if it cannot lead to a state in which r could be leaked.
 - b. Question: in a given arbitrary protection system, is safety decidable?
5. Mono-operational case: there is an algorithm that decides whether a given mono-operational system and initial state is safe for a given generic right.
6. General case: It is undecidable whether a given state of a given protection system is safe for a given generic right.
 - a. Approach: represent Turing machine tape as access control matrix, transitions as commands
 - b. Reduce halting problem to it
7. Related results
 - a. The set of unsafe systems is recursively enumerable
 - b. Monotonicity: no delete or destroy primitive operations
 - c. The safety question for biconditional monotonic protection systems is undecidable.
 - d. The safety question for monoconditional monotonic protection systems is decidable.
 - e. The safety question for monoconditional protection systems without the destroy primitive operation is decidable.