

Outline for October 27, 2021

Reading: *text*, §24.4–24.5

Assignments: Homework 3, due November 8, 2021

1. RISOS

- (a) Goal: Aid managers, others in understanding security issues in OSES, and work required to make them more secure
- (b) Incomplete parameter validation — failing to check that a parameter used as an array index is in the range of the array;
- (c) Inconsistent parameter validation — if a routine allowing shared access to files accepts blanks in a file name, but no other file manipulation routine (such as a routine to revoke shared access) will accept them;
- (d) Implicit sharing of privileged/confidential data — sending information by modulating the load average of the system;
- (e) Asynchronous validation/Inadequate serialization — checking a file for access permission and opening it non-atomically, thereby allowing another process to change the binding of the name to the data between the check and the open;
- (f) Inadequate identification/authentication/authorization — running a system program identified only by name, and having a different program with the same name executed;
- (g) Violable prohibition/limit — being able to manipulate data outside one's protection domain; and
- (h) Exploitable logic error — preventing a program from opening a critical file, causing the program to execute an error routine that gives the user unauthorized rights.

2. PA Model (Neumann's organization)

- (a) Goal: develop techniques to search for vulnerabilities that less experienced people could use
- (b) Improper protection (initialization and enforcement)
 - i. Improper choice of initial protection domain: incorrect initial assignment of security or integrity level at system initialization or generation; a security critical function manipulating critical data directly accessible to the user;
 - ii. Improper isolation of implementation detail: allowing users to bypass operating system controls and write to absolute input/output addresses; direct manipulation of a hidden data structure such as a directory file being written to as if it were a regular file; drawing inferences from paging activity
 - iii. Improper change: the time-of-check to time-of-use flaw; changing a parameter unexpectedly;
 - iv. Improper naming: allowing two different objects to have the same name, resulting in confusion over which is referenced;
 - v. Improper deallocation or deletion: leaving old data in memory deallocated by one process and reallocated to another process, enabling the second process to access the information used by the first; failing to end a session properly
- (c) Improper validation: not checking critical conditions and parameters, so a process addresses memory not in its memory space by referencing through an out-of-bounds pointer value; allowing type clashes; overflows
- (d) Improper synchronization
 - i. Improper indivisibility: interrupting atomic operations (e.g. locking); cache inconsistency
 - ii. Improper sequencing: allowing actions in an incorrect order (e.g. reading during writing)
- (e) Improper choice of operand or operation: using unfair scheduling algorithms that block certain processes or users from running; using the wrong function or wrong arguments.

3. NRL

- (a) Goal: Find out how vulnerabilities enter the system, when they enter the system, and where they are

- (b) Axis 1: inadvertent (RISOS classes) vs. intentional (malicious/nonmalicious)
 - (c) Axis 2: time of introduction (development, maintenance, operation)
 - (d) Axis 3: location (hardware, software: OS, support utilities, applications)
4. Aslam
- (a) Goal: Treat vulnerabilities as faults
 - (b) Coding faults: introduced during software development
 - i. Synchronization errors
 - ii. Validation errors
 - (c) Emergent faults: introduced by incorrect initialization, use, or application
 - i. Configuration errors
 - ii. Environment faults
 - (d) Introduced decision procedure to classify vulnerabilities in exactly one category
5. Standards
- (a) CVE
 - (b) CWE