Lecture 20 November 8, 2021

Logic Bombs

- A program that performs an action that violates the site security policy when some external event occurs
- Example: program that deletes company's payroll records when one particular record is deleted
 - The "particular record" is usually that of the person writing the logic bomb
 - Idea is if (when) he or she is fired, and the payroll record deleted, the company loses all those records

Adware

- Trojan horse that gathers information for marketing purposes and displays advertisements
 - Often selects ads to display based on gathered information
- Believed to have originated with a company announcing it would make its software available for free, because it would pop up window advertising company
 - Benign as user had to opt in
 - Spread through distribution of program only

Types of Behavior

- Low severity behavior: just display ads, don't transmit information
- *Medium severity behavior*: transmits information deemed low risk, such as location information, and may display ads based on this
- *High severity behavior*: transmits personal information, and displays ads tailored to devices, people with those characteristic
 - Typically very aggressive (annoying)
 - Sometimes called *madware*

Getting Adware On a System

- Put on a web site user visits
 - Put it in a banner enticing the user to click on it; this installs the adware
 - Page may require user to install software to view parts of web site; software contains adware
 - If page refreshes automatically, it may direct browser to run an executable
 - Usually browser notifies user via a dialog box that may require a click; on click, program runs and installs adware
 - Some browser plug-ins download, execute files automatically; there may be no indication of this
 - Called *drive-by downloading*

Getting Adware on a System

- Put into software that user downloads
 - Very common with mobile apps
- Problem: app asks for permission to carry out its tasks
 - Some may be unnecessary; often hard for users to minimize permissions set
 - Thus app may have access to camera, microphone, and may be able to make calls without going through dialing interface and user does not realize this
- Example: survey of 900 Android apps
 - 323 had unnecessary permissions

Economic Motives

- Used to target ads that use is most likely to respond to
- Purveyors get money for every ad displayed or clicked on
 - Web site owners display ads on their sites
 - Developers put adware libraries in their apps
 - Others take apps, modify them to include adware, and put them on unauthorized app stores

Spyware

- Trojan horse that records information about the use of a computer for a third party
 - Usually results in compromise of confidential information like keystrokes, passwords, credit card numbers, etc.
 - Information can be stored for retrieval or sent to third party
- Put on a system the way any other malware gets onto system

Example: Pegasus

- Designed for Apple's iPhone, attacker sends URL to victim who clicks on it, triggering attack that tries to gain control of iPhone
- First sends HTML file exploiting vulnerability in WebKit
 - Basis for Safari and other browsers
- This downloads software to gain control of iPhone
 - Software enciphered with different keys for each download
 - Includes a loader for the next stage
- Loader downloads dynamic load libraries, daemons, other software and installs Pegasus
 - If iPhone has previously been jailbroken, removes all acess to the iPhone provided by the earlier break

Example: Response to Pegasus

- Apple developed patches for the vulnerabilities exploited
 - Deployed them in update to iPhone's operating system, iOS
- Discovered when human rights activist received text messages with a suspicious link
 - Sent messages to Citizens Lab
 - Citizens Lab recognized links were associated with a manufacturer of spyware for government surveillance
 - Lookout carried out technical analysis

Ransomware

- Malware inhibiting use of computer, resources until a ransom is paid
 - Ransom is usually monetary, and must be paid through some anonymous mechanism (BitCoin is popular)
- PC CYBORG (1989) altered AUTOEXEC.BAT to count number of times system was booted; on 90th, names of all files on main drive (C:) enciphered and directories hidden
 - User told to send fee to post office box to recover the system
- CryptoLocker (2013) encrypted files and gave victim 100 hours to pay ransom; if not, encryption keys destroyed
 - Used evasive techniques to make tracking more difficult
 - Spread via email as attachments

Example Protocol

Goal: Angie wants to extort money from Xavier

- Angie generates asymmetric key pair; embeds public key in malware
 - She retains private key
- Malware infects Xavier's system
 - Generates symmetric key and uses that to encipher target data
 - Enciphers symmetric key with public key, erases all instances of symmetric key
 - Xavier sees message saying he needs to do something for Angie (usually send money); he does so and includes the encrypted symmetric key
- Angie then deciphers encrypted symmetric key with her private key, returns it to Xavier

Phishing

- Act of impersonating legitimate entity in order to obtain information such as passwords or credit card information without authorization
 - Usually a web site associated with a business
- Usual approach: craft a web site that looks like the legitimate one
 - Send out lots of email trying to persuade people to go to that web site
 - Copy their login, password, and other information for later use
- More vicious attack: fake web site passes data on to real web site, and sends replies back to victim
 - Man-in-the-middle attack

Example

- Heidi banks at MegaBank, with URL of https://www.megabank.com
- She receives a letter saying she needs to check her account for possible fraudulent activity
- Email includes link
 - Link is visible as www.megabank.com
 - But link actually connects to https://www.megabank.crookery.com
- Attacker records name, password, then give error
 - If very clever, client is redirected to actual bank's home page

Spearphishing

- Phishing attack tailored for particular user
- Used to attack specific (types of) users to obtain information
- Example: some employees of a major cybersecurity company received email called "2011 Recruitment Plan"
 - They opened an attacked spreadsheet
 - This exploited a vulnerability in a supporting program to install a backdoor so attackers could control system remotely
 - Attackers used this as a springboard to compromise other systems in the company's network, and ultimately stole sensitive information
 - Embarrassment, financial costs of recovery large

Defenses

- Scanning
- Distinguishing between data, instructions
- Containing
- Specifying behavior
- Limiting sharing
- Statistical analysis

Scanning Defenses

- Malware alters memory contents or disk files
- Compute manipulation detection code (MDC) to generate signature block for data, and save it
- Later, recompute MDC and compare to stored MDC
 - If different, data has changed

Example: tripwire

- File system scanner
- Initialization: it computes signature block for each file, saves it
 - Signature consists of file attributes, cryptographic checksums
 - System administrator selects what file attributes go into signature
- Checking file system: run *tripwire*
 - Regenerates file signatures
 - Compares them to stored file signatures and reports any differences

Assumptions

- Files do not contain malicious logic when original signature block generated
- Pozzo & Grey: implement Biba's model on LOCUS to make assumption explicit
 - Credibility ratings assign trustworthiness numbers from 0 (untrusted) to n (signed, fully trusted)
 - Subjects have risk levels
 - Subjects can execute programs with credibility ratings ≥ risk level
 - If credibility rating < risk level, must use special command to run program

Antivirus Programs

- Look for specific "malware signatures"
 - If found, warn user and/or disinfect data
- At first, static sequences of bits, or patterns; now also includes patterns of behavior
- At first, derived manually; now usually done automatically
 - Manual derivation impractical due to number of malwares

Example: Earlybird

- System for generating worm signatures based on worm increasing network traffic between hosts, and this traffic has many common substrings
- When a packet arrives, its contents hashed and destination port and protocol identifier appended; then check hash table (called *dispersion table*) to see if this content, port, and protocol have been seen
 - If yes, increment counters for source, destination addresses; if both exceed a threshold, content may be worm signature
 - If no, run through a multistage filter that applies 4 different hashes and checks for those hashes in different tables; count of entry with smallest count incremented; if all 4 counters exceed a second threshold, make entry in dispersion table
- Found several worms before antimalware vendors distributed signatures for them

Example: Polygraph

- Assumes worm is polymorphic or metamorphic
- Generates classes of signatures, all based on substrings called tokens
 - Conjunction signature: collection of tokens, matched if all tokens appear regardless of order
 - *Token-subsequence signature*: like conjunction signature but tokens must appear in order
- Bayes signature associates a score with each token, and threshold with signature
 - If probability of the payload as computed from token scores exceeds a threshold, match occurs
- Experimentally, Bayes signatures work well when there is little nonmalicious traffic, but if that's more than 80% of network traffic, no worms identified

Behavioral Analysis

- Run suspected malware in a confined area, typically a sandbox, that simulates environment it will execute in
- Monitor it for some time period
- Look for anything considered "bad"; if it occurs, flag this as malware

Example: Panorama

- Loads suspected malware into a Windows system, which is itself loaded into Panorama and run
 - Files belonging to suspect program are marked
- Test engine sends "sensitive" information to trusted application on Windows
- Taint engine monitors flow of information around system
 - So when suspect program and trusted application run, behavior of information can be recorded in taint graphs
- Malware detection engine analyzes taint graphs for suspicious behavior
- Experimentally, Panorama tested against 42 malware samples, 56 benign samples; no false negatives, 3 false positives

Evasion

Malware can try to ensure malicious activity not triggered in analysis environment

- Wait for a (relatively) long time
- Wait for a particular input or external event
- Identify malware is running in constrained environment
 - Check various descriptor tables
 - Run sequence of instructions that generate an exception if not in a virtual machine (in 2010, estimates found 2.13% of malware samples did this)

Data vs. Instructions

- Malicious logic is both
 - Virus: written to program (data); then executes (instructions)
- Approach: treat "data" and "instructions" as separate types, and require certifying authority to approve conversion
 - Key are assumption that certifying authority will not make mistakes and assumption that tools, supporting infrastructure used in certifying process are not corrupt

Example: Duff and UNIX

- Observation: users with execute permission usually have read permission, too
 - So files with "execute" permission have type "executable"; those without it, type "data"
 - Executable files can be altered, but type immediately changed to "data"
 - Implemented by turning off execute permission
 - Certifier can change them back
 - So virus can spread only if run as certifier

Containment

- Basis: a user (unknowingly) executes malicious logic, which then executes with all that user's privileges
 - Limiting accessibility of objects should limit spread of malicious logic and effects of its actions
- Approach draws on mechanisms for confinement

Information Flow Metrics

- Idea: limit distance a virus can spread
- Flow distance metric *fd*(*x*):
 - Initially, all information x has fd(x) = 0
 - Whenever information y is shared, fd(y) increases by 1
 - Whenever $y_1, ..., y_n$ used as input to compute $z, fd(z) = \max(fd(y_1), ..., fd(y_n))$
- Information x accessible if and only if for some parameter V, fd(x) < V

Example

- Anne: $V_A = 3$; Bill, Cathy: $V_B = V_C = 2$
- Anne creates program P containing virus
- Bill executes P
 - P tries to write to Bill's program Q; works, as fd(P) = 0, so $fd(Q) = 1 < V_B$
- Cathy executes Q
 - Q tries to write to Cathy's program R; fails, as fd(Q) = 1, so fd(R) would be 2
- Problem: if Cathy executes P, R can be infected
 - So, does not stop spread; slows it down greatly, though

Implementation Issues

- Metric associated with *information*, not *objects*
 - You can tag files with metric, but how do you tag the information in them?
 - This inhibits sharing
- To stop spread, make V = 0
 - Disallows sharing
 - Also defeats purpose of multi-user systems, and is crippling in scientific and developmental environments
 - Sharing is critical here

Reducing Protection Domain

- Application of principle of least privilege
- Basic idea: remove rights from process so it can only perform its function
 - Warning: if that function requires it to write, it can write anything
 - But you can make sure it writes only to those objects you expect

Example: ACLs and C-Lists

- s_1 owns file f_1 and s_2 owns program p_2 and file f_3
 - Suppose s_1 can read, write f_1 , execute p_2 , write f_3
 - Suppose s_2 can read, write, execute p_2 and read f_3
- s_1 needs to run p_2
 - *p*₂ contains Trojan horse
 - So s_1 needs to ensure p_{12} (subject created when s_1 runs p_2) can't write to f_3
 - Ideally, p_{12} has capability { (s_1 , p_2 , x) } so no problem
 - In practice, p₁₂ inherits s₁'s rights, so it can write to f₃—bad! Note s₁ does not own f₃, so can't change its rights over f₃
- Solution: restrict access by others

Authorization Denial Subset

- Defined for each user *s*_{*i*}
- Contains ACL entries that others cannot exercise over objects s_i owns
- In example: $R(s_2) = \{ (s_1, f_3, w) \}$
 - So when p₁₂ tries to write to f₃, as p₁₂ owned by s₁ and f₃ owned by s₂, system denies access
- Problem: how do you decide what should be in your authorization denial subset?

Karger's Scheme

- Base it on attribute of subject, object
- Interpose a knowledge-based subsystem to determine if requested file access reasonable
 - Sits between kernel and application
- Example: UNIX C compiler
 - Reads from files with names ending in ".c", ".h"
 - Writes to files with names beginning with "/tmp/ctm" and assembly files with names ending in ".s"
- When subsystem invoked, if C compiler tries to write to ".c" file, request rejected

Lai and Gray

- Implemented modified version of Karger's scheme on UNIX system
 - Allow programs to access (read or write) files named on command line
 - Prevent access to other files
- Two types of processes
 - Trusted: no access checks or restrictions
 - Untrusted: valid access list (VAL) controls access and is initialized to command line arguments plus any temporary files that the process creates

File Access Requests

- 1. If file on VAL, use effective UID/GID of process to determine if access allowed
- 2. If access requested is read and file is world-readable, allow access
- 3. If process creating file, effective UID/GID controls allowing creation
 - Enter file into VAL as NNA (new non-argument); set permissions so no other process can read file
- 4. Ask user. If yes, effective UID/GID controls allowing access; if no, deny access

Example

• Assembler invoked from compiler

```
as x.s /tmp/ctm2345
```

and creates temp file /tmp/as1111

• VAL is

x.s /tmp/ctm2345 /tmp/as1111

- Now Trojan horse tries to copy x.s to another file
 - On creation, file inaccessible to all except creating user so attacker cannot read it (rule 3)
 - If file created already and assembler tries to write to it, user is asked (rule 4), thereby revealing Trojan horse

Trusted Programs

- No VALs applied here
 - UNIX command interpreters: *csh*, *sh*
 - Program that spawn them: *getty, login*
 - Programs that access file system recursively: *ar*, *chgrp*, *chown*, *diff*, *du*, *dump*, *find*, *ls*, *restore*, *tar*
 - Programs that often access files not in argument list: *binmail, cpp, dbx, mail, make, script, vi*
 - Various network daemons: *fingerd*, *ftpd*, *sendmail*, *talkd*, *telnetd*, *tftpd*