## **Outline for January 12, 2007**

- 1. Greetings and Felicitations!
- 2. Take-Grant
  - a. Counterpoint to HRU result
  - b. Symmetry of take and grant rights
  - c. Islands (maximal subject-only *tg*-connected subgraphs)
  - d. Bridges (as a combination of terminal and initial spans)
- 3. Sharing
  - a. Definition:  $can \cdot share(r, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, G_0)$  true iff there exists a sequence of protection graphs  $G_0, ..., G_n$  such that  $G_0 \mid -* G_n$  using only take, grant, create, remove rules and in  $G_n$ , there is an edge from  $\mathbf{x}$  to  $\mathbf{y}$  labeled r
  - b. Theorem:  $can \cdot share(r, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, G_0)$  iff there is an edge from  $\mathbf{x}$  to  $\mathbf{y}$  labeled r in  $G_0$ , or all of the following hold:
    - i. there is a vertex  $\mathbf{y}'$  with an edge from  $\mathbf{y}'$  to  $\mathbf{y}$  labeled r;
    - ii. there is a subject  $\mathbf{y''}$  which terminally spans to  $\mathbf{y'}$ , or  $\mathbf{y''} = \mathbf{y'}$ ;
    - iii. there is a subject  $\mathbf{x}'$  which initially spans to  $\mathbf{x}$ , or  $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x}$ ; and
    - iv. there is a sequence of islands  $I_1, ..., I_n$  connected by bridges for which **x'** is in  $I_1$  and **y'** is in  $I_n$ .
- 4. Model Interpretation
  - a. ACM very general, broadly applicable; Take-Grant more specific, can model fewer situations
  - b. Theorem:  $G_0$  protection graph with exactly one subject, no edges; R set of rights. Then  $G_0 \vdash G$  iff G is a finite directed graph containing subjects and objects only, with edges labeled from nonempty subsets of R, and with at least one subject with no incoming edges
  - c. Example: shared buffer managed by trusted third part
- 5. Stealing
  - a. Definition:  $can \cdot steal(r, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, G_0)$  true iff there is no edge from  $\mathbf{x}$  to  $\mathbf{y}$  labeled r in  $G_0$ , and there exists a sequence of protection graphs  $G_0, ..., G_n$  such that  $G_0 \models^* G_n$  in which:
    - i.  $G_n$  has an edge from **x** to **y** labeled r
    - ii. There is a sequence of rule applications  $\rho_1, ..., \rho_n$  such that  $G_{i-1} \vdash G_i$ ; and
    - iii. For all vertices  $\mathbf{v}$ ,  $\mathbf{w}$  in  $G_{i-1}$ , if there is an edge from  $\mathbf{v}$  to  $\mathbf{y}$  in  $G_0$  labeled r, then  $\rho_i$  is not of the form " $\mathbf{v}$  grants (r to  $\mathbf{y}$ ) to  $\mathbf{w}$ "
  - b. Example