## Homework 1

**Due:** January 23, 2019 **Points:** 100

## **Ouestions**

- 1. (24 points) Consider the set of rights { read, write, execute, append, list, modify, own }.
  - (a) Using the syntax in Section 2.3, write a command  $delete\_all\_rights(p, q, o)$ . This command causes p to delete all rights the subject q has over an object o.
  - (b) Modify your command so that the deletion can occur only if p has modify rights over o.
  - (c) Modify your command so that the deletion can occur only if p has modify rights over o and q does not have own rights over o.
- 2. (20 points) The proof of Theorem 3.1 states that we can omit the **delete** and **destroy** commands as they do not affect the ability of a right to leak when no command can test for the absence of rights. Justify this statement. If such tests were allowed, would **delete** and **destroy** commands affect the ability of a right to leak?
- 3. (20 points) Prove or disprove: The claim of Lemma 3.1 holds when x is an object.
- 4. (20 points) Consider the construction of the three-parent joint creation operation from the two-parent joint creation operation shown in Section 3.5.2. Suppose we set cr<sub>C</sub>(s,c) = c/R<sub>3</sub> and link<sub>2</sub>(S,A<sub>3</sub>) = A<sub>3</sub>/t ∈ dom(S). Why is this not sufficient to derive the three-parent joint creation operation from the two-parent joint creation operation?
- 5. (16 points) Classify each of the following as an example of a mandatory, discretionary, or originator controlled policy, or a combination thereof. Justify your answers.
  - (a) The file access control mechanisms of the UNIX operating system
  - (b) A system in which no memorandum can be distributed without the creator's consent
  - (c) A military facility in which only generals can enter a particular room
  - (d) A university registrar's office, in which a faculty member can see the grades of a particular student provided that the student has given written permission for the faculty member to see them.