

# ECS 235B Module 18

## Bell-LaPadula Model

# Formal Model Definitions

- $S$  subjects,  $O$  objects,  $P$  rights
  - Defined rights:  $\underline{r}$  read,  $\underline{a}$  write,  $\underline{w}$  read/write,  $\underline{e}$  empty
- $M$  set of possible access control matrices
- $C$  set of clearances/classifications,  $K$  set of categories,  $L = C \times K$  set of security levels
- $F = \{ (f_s, f_o, f_c) \}$ 
  - $f_s(s)$  maximum security level of subject  $s$
  - $f_c(s)$  current security level of subject  $s$
  - $f_o(o)$  security level of object  $o$

# More Definitions

- Hierarchy functions  $H: O \rightarrow P(O)$
- Requirements
  1.  $o_i \neq o_j \Rightarrow h(o_i) \cap h(o_j) = \emptyset$
  2. There is no set  $\{o_1, \dots, o_k\} \subseteq O$  such that for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ,  $o_{i+1} \in h(o_i)$  and  $o_{k+1} = o_1$ .
- Example
  - Tree hierarchy; take  $h(o)$  to be the set of children of  $o$
  - No two objects have any common children (#1)
  - There are no loops in the tree (#2)

# States and Requests

- $V$  set of states
  - Each state is  $(b, m, f, h)$ 
    - $b$  is like  $m$ , but excludes rights not allowed by  $f$
- $R$  set of requests for access
- $D$  set of outcomes
  - y allowed, n not allowed, i illegal, o error
- $W$  set of actions of the system
  - $W \subseteq R \times D \times V \times V$

# History

- $X = R^N$  set of sequences of requests
- $Y = D^N$  set of sequences of decisions
- $Z = V^N$  set of sequences of states
- Interpretation
  - At time  $t \in N$ , system is in state  $z_{t-1} \in V$ ; request  $x_t \in R$  causes system to make decision  $y_t \in D$ , transitioning the system into a (possibly new) state  $z_t \in V$
- System representation:  $\Sigma(R, D, W, z_0) \in X \times Y \times Z$ 
  - $(x, y, z) \in \Sigma(R, D, W, z_0)$  iff  $(x_t, y_t, z_{t-1}, z_t) \in W$  for all  $t$
  - $(x, y, z)$  called an *appearance* of  $\Sigma(R, D, W, z_0)$

# Example

- $S = \{ s \}, O = \{ o \}, P = \{ \underline{r}, \underline{w} \}$
- $C = \{ \text{High}, \text{Low} \}, K = \{ \text{All} \}$
- For every  $f \in F$ , either  $f_c(s) = ( \text{High}, \{ \text{All} \} )$  or  $f_c(s) = ( \text{Low}, \{ \text{All} \} )$
- Initial State:
  - $b_1 = \{ (s, o, \underline{r}) \}, m_1 \in M$  gives  $s$  read access over  $o$ , and for  $f_1 \in F, f_{c,1}(s) = ( \text{High}, \{ \text{All} \} ), f_{o,1}(o) = ( \text{Low}, \{ \text{All} \} )$
  - Call this state  $v_0 = (b_1, m_1, f_1, h_1) \in V$ .

# First Transition

- Now suppose in state  $v_0$ :  $S = \{ s, s' \}$
- Suppose  $f_{s,1}(s') = (\text{Low}, \{\text{All}\})$ ,  $m_1 \in M$  gives  $s$  read access over  $o$  and  $s'$  write access to  $o$
- As  $s'$  not written to  $o$ ,  $b_1 = \{ (s, o, \underline{r}) \}$
- $r_1$ :  $s'$  requests to write to  $o$ :
  - System decides  $d_1 = \underline{y}$  (as  $m_1$  gives it that right, and  $f_{s,1}(s') = f_o(o)$ )
  - New state  $v_1 = (b_2, m_1, f_1, h_1) \in V$
  - $b_2 = \{ (s, o, \underline{r}), (s', o, \underline{w}) \}$
  - Here,  $x = (r_1)$ ,  $y = (\underline{y})$ ,  $z = (v_0, v_1)$

# Second Transition

- Current state  $v_1 = (b_2, m_1, f_1, h_1) \in V$ 
  - $b_2 = \{ (s, o, \underline{r}), (s', o, \underline{w}) \}$
  - $f_{c,1}(s) = (\text{High}, \{ \text{All} \}), f_{o,1}(o) = (\text{Low}, \{ \text{All} \})$
- $r_2$ :  $s$  requests to write to  $o$ :
  - System decides  $d_2 = \underline{n}$  (as  $f_{c,1}(s) \text{ dom } f_{o,1}(o)$ )
  - New state  $v_2 = (b_2, m_1, f_1, h_1) \in V$
  - $b_2 = \{ (s, o, \underline{r}), (s', o, \underline{w}) \}$
  - So,  $x = (r_1, r_2), y = (\underline{y}, \underline{n}), z = (v_0, v_1, v_2)$ , where  $v_2 = v_1$



# Basic Security Theorem

- Define action, secure formally
  - Using a bit of foreshadowing for “secure”
- Restate properties formally
  - Simple security condition
  - \*-property
  - Discretionary security property
- State conditions for properties to hold
- State Basic Security Theorem

# Action

- A request and decision that causes the system to move from one state to another
  - Final state may be the same as initial state
- $(r, d, v, v') \in R \times D \times V \times V$  is an *action* of  $\Sigma(R, D, W, z_0)$  iff there is an  $(x, y, z) \in \Sigma(R, D, W, z_0)$  and a  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(r, d, v, v') = (x_t, y_t, z_t, z_{t-1})$ 
  - Request  $r$  made when system in state  $v'$ ; decision  $d$  moves system into (possibly the same) state  $v$
  - Correspondence with  $(x_t, y_t, z_t, z_{t-1})$  makes states, requests, part of a sequence

# Simple Security Condition

- $(s, o, p) \in S \times O \times P$  satisfies the simple security condition relative to  $f$  (written *ssc rel f*) iff one of the following holds:
  1.  $p = \underline{e}$  or  $p = \underline{a}$
  2.  $p = \underline{r}$  or  $p = \underline{w}$  and  $f_s(s) \text{ dom } f_o(o)$
- Holds vacuously if rights do not involve reading
- If all elements of  $b$  satisfy *ssc rel f*, then state satisfies simple security condition
- If all states satisfy simple security condition, system satisfies simple security condition

# Necessary and Sufficient

- $\Sigma(R, D, W, z_0)$  satisfies the simple security condition for any secure state  $z_0$  iff for every action  $(r, d, (b, m, f, h), (b', m', f', h'))$ ,  $W$  satisfies
  - Every  $(s, o, p) \in b - b'$  satisfies *ssc rel f*
  - Every  $(s, o, p) \in b'$  that does not satisfy *ssc rel f* is not in  $b$
- Note: “secure” means  $z_0$  satisfies *ssc rel f*
- First says every  $(s, o, p)$  added satisfies *ssc rel f*; second says any  $(s, o, p)$  in  $b'$  that does not satisfy *ssc rel f* is deleted

# \*-Property

- $b(s: p_1, \dots, p_n)$  set of all objects that  $s$  has  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  access to
- State  $(b, m, f, h)$  satisfies the \*-property iff for each  $s \in S$  the following hold:
  1.  $b(s: \underline{a}) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow [\forall o \in b(s: \underline{a}) [f_o(o) \text{ dom } f_c(s) ] ]$
  2.  $b(s: \underline{w}) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow [\forall o \in b(s: \underline{w}) [f_o(o) = f_c(s) ] ]$
  3.  $b(s: \underline{r}) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow [\forall o \in b(s: \underline{r}) [f_c(s) \text{ dom } f_o(o) ] ]$
- Idea: for writing, object dominates subject; for reading, subject dominates object

# \*-Property

- If all states satisfy simple security condition, system satisfies simple security condition
- If a subset  $S'$  of subjects satisfy \*-property, then \*-property satisfied relative to  $S' \subseteq S$
- Note: tempting to conclude that \*-property includes simple security condition, but this is false
  - See condition placed on w right for each

# Necessary and Sufficient

- $\Sigma(R, D, W, z_0)$  satisfies the \*-property relative to  $S' \subseteq S$  for any secure state  $z_0$  iff for every action  $(r, d, (b, m, f, h), (b', m', f', h'))$ ,  $W$  satisfies the following for every  $s \in S'$ 
  - Every  $(s, o, p) \in b - b'$  satisfies the \*-property relative to  $S'$
  - Every  $(s, o, p) \in b'$  that does not satisfy the \*-property relative to  $S'$  is not in  $b$
- Note: “secure” means  $z_0$  satisfies \*-property relative to  $S'$
- First says every  $(s, o, p)$  added satisfies the \*-property relative to  $S'$ ; second says any  $(s, o, p)$  in  $b'$  that does not satisfy the \*-property relative to  $S'$  is deleted

# Discretionary Security Property

- State  $(b, m, f, h)$  satisfies the discretionary security property iff, for each  $(s, o, p) \in b$ , then  $p \in m[s, o]$
- Idea: if  $s$  can read  $o$ , then it must have rights to do so in the access control matrix  $m$
- This is the discretionary access control part of the model
  - The other two properties are the mandatory access control parts of the model



# Necessary and Sufficient

- $\Sigma(R, D, W, z_0)$  satisfies the ds-property for any secure state  $z_0$  iff, for every action  $(r, d, (b, m, f, h), (b', m', f', h'))$ ,  $W$  satisfies:
  - Every  $(s, o, p) \in b - b'$  satisfies the ds-property
  - Every  $(s, o, p) \in b'$  that does not satisfy the ds-property is not in  $b$
- Note: “secure” means  $z_0$  satisfies ds-property
- First says every  $(s, o, p)$  added satisfies the ds-property; second says any  $(s, o, p)$  in  $b'$  that does not satisfy the \*-property is deleted

# Secure

- A system is secure iff it satisfies:
  - Simple security condition
  - \*-property
  - Discretionary security property
- A state meeting these three properties is also said to be secure

# Basic Security Theorem

- $\Sigma(R, D, W, z_0)$  is a secure system if  $z_0$  is a secure state and  $W$  satisfies the conditions for the preceding three theorems
  - The theorems are on the slides titled “Necessary and Sufficient”

# Rule

- $\rho: R \times V \rightarrow D \times V$
- Takes a state and a request, returns a decision and a (possibly new) state
- Rule  $\rho$  *ssc-preserving* if for all  $(r, v) \in R \times V$  and  $v$  satisfying *ssc rel f*,  $\rho(r, v) = (d, v')$  means that  $v'$  satisfies *ssc rel f'*.
  - Similar definitions for \*-property, ds-property
  - If rule meets all 3 conditions, it is *security-preserving*

# Unambiguous Rule Selection

- Problem: multiple rules may apply to a request in a state
  - if two rules act on a read request in state  $v$  ...
- Solution: define relation  $W(\omega)$  for a set of rules  $\omega = \{ \rho_1, \dots, \rho_m \}$  such that a state  $(r, d, v, v') \in W(\omega)$  iff either
  - $d = \underline{j}$ ; or
  - for exactly one integer  $j$ ,  $\rho_j(r, v) = (d, v')$
- Either request is illegal, or only one rule applies

# Rules Preserving SSC

- Let  $\omega$  be set of *ssc*-preserving rules. Let state  $z_0$  satisfy simple security condition. Then  $\Sigma(R, D, W(\omega), z_0)$  satisfies simple security condition

Proof: by contradiction.

- Choose  $(x, y, z) \in \Sigma(R, D, W(\omega), z_0)$  as state not satisfying simple security condition; then choose  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(x_t, y_t, z_t)$  is first appearance not meeting simple security condition
- As  $(x_t, y_t, z_t, z_{t-1}) \in W(\omega)$ , there is unique rule  $\rho \in \omega$  such that  $\rho(x_t, z_{t-1}) = (y_t, z_t)$  and  $y_t \neq \dot{!}$ .
- As  $\rho$  *ssc*-preserving, and  $z_{t-1}$  satisfies simple security condition, then  $z_t$  meets simple security condition, contradiction.

# Adding States Preserving SSC

- Let  $v = (b, m, f, h)$  satisfy simple security condition. Let  $(s, o, p) \notin b$ ,  $b' = b \cup \{ (s, o, p) \}$ , and  $v' = (b', m, f, h)$ . Then  $v'$  satisfies simple security condition iff:
  1. Either  $p = \underline{e}$  or  $p = \underline{a}$ ; or
  2. Either  $p = \underline{r}$  or  $p = \underline{w}$ , and  $f_c(s) \text{ dom } f_o(o)$

Proof:

1. Immediate from definition of simple security condition and  $v'$  satisfying  $ssc \text{ rel } f$
2.  $v'$  satisfies simple security condition means  $f_c(s) \text{ dom } f_o(o)$ , and for converse,  $(s, o, p) \in b'$  satisfies  $ssc \text{ rel } f$ , so  $v'$  satisfies simple security condition

# Rules, States Preserving \*-Property

- Let  $\omega$  be set of \*-property-preserving rules, state  $z_0$  satisfies the \*-property. Then  $\Sigma(R, D, W(\omega), z_0)$  satisfies \*-property
- Let  $v = (b, m, f, h)$  satisfy \*-property. Let  $(s, o, p) \notin b$ ,  $b' = b \cup \{(s, o, p)\}$ , and  $v' = (b', m, f, h)$ . Then  $v'$  satisfies \*-property iff one of the following holds:
  1.  $p = \underline{a}$  and  $f_o(o) \text{ dom } f_c(s)$
  2.  $p = \underline{w}$  and  $f_c(s) = f_o(o)$
  3.  $p = \underline{r}$  and  $f_c(s) \text{ dom } f_o(o)$



# Rules, States Preserving ds-Property

- Let  $\omega$  be set of ds-property-preserving rules, state  $z_0$  satisfies ds-property. Then  $\Sigma(R, D, W(\omega), z_0)$  satisfies ds-property
- Let  $v = (b, m, f, h)$  satisfy ds-property. Let  $(s, o, p) \notin b$ ,  $b' = b \cup \{(s, o, p)\}$ , and  $v' = (b', m, f, h)$ . Then  $v'$  satisfies ds-property iff  $p \in m[s, o]$ .

# Combining

- Let  $\rho$  be a rule and  $\rho(r, v) = (d, v')$ , where  $v = (b, m, f, h)$  and  $v' = (b', m', f', h')$ . Then:
  1. If  $b' \subseteq b, f' = f$ , and  $v$  satisfies the simple security condition, then  $v'$  satisfies the simple security condition
  2. If  $b' \subseteq b, f' = f$ , and  $v$  satisfies the \*-property, then  $v'$  satisfies the \*-property
  3. If  $b' \subseteq b, m[s, o] \subseteq m'[s, o]$  for all  $s \in S$  and  $o \in O$ , and  $v$  satisfies the ds-property, then  $v'$  satisfies the ds-property

# Proof

1. Suppose  $v$  satisfies simple security property.

- a)  $b' \subseteq b$  and  $(s, o, \underline{r}) \in b'$  implies  $(s, o, \underline{r}) \in b$
- b)  $b' \subseteq b$  and  $(s, o, \underline{w}) \in b'$  implies  $(s, o, \underline{w}) \in b$
- c) So  $f'_c(s) \text{ dom } f'_o(o)$
- d) But  $f' = f$
- e) Hence  $f'_c(s) \text{ dom } f'_o(o)$
- f) So  $v'$  satisfies simple security condition

2, 3 proved similarly

# Example Instantiation: Multics

- 11 rules affect rights:
  - set to request, release access
  - set to give, remove access to different subject
  - set to create, reclassify objects
  - set to remove objects
  - set to change subject security level
- Set of “trusted” subjects  $S_T \subseteq S$ 
  - \*-property not enforced; subjects trusted not to violate it
- $\Delta(\rho)$  domain
  - determines if components of request are valid

# *get-read* Rule

- Request  $r = (get, s, o, \underline{r})$ 
  - $s$  gets (requests) the right to read  $o$
- Rule is  $\rho_1(r, v)$ :
  - if**  $(r \neq \Delta(\rho_1))$  **then**  $\rho_1(r, v) = (i, v)$ ;
  - else if**  $(f_s(s) \text{ dom } f_o(o) \text{ and } [s \in S_T \text{ or } f_c(s) \text{ dom } f_o(o)]) \text{ and } r \in m[s, o]$ 
    - then**  $\rho_1(r, v) = (y, (b \cup \{ (s, o, \underline{r}) \}, m, f, h))$ ;
  - else**  $\rho_1(r, v) = (\underline{n}, v)$ ;

# Security of Rule

- The get-read rule preserves the simple security condition, the \*-property, and the ds-property

Proof:

- Let  $v$  satisfy all conditions. Let  $\rho_1(r, v) = (d, v')$ . If  $v' = v$ , result is trivial. So let  $v' = (b \cup \{ (s_2, o, \underline{r}) \}, m, f, h)$ .

# Proof

- Consider the simple security condition.
  - From the choice of  $v'$ , either  $b' - b = \emptyset$  or  $\{ (s_2, o, \underline{r}) \}$
  - If  $b' - b = \emptyset$ , then  $\{ (s_2, o, \underline{r}) \} \in b$ , so  $v = v'$ , proving that  $v'$  satisfies the simple security condition.
  - If  $b' - b = \{ (s_2, o, \underline{r}) \}$ , because the *get-read* rule requires that  $f_c(s) \text{ dom } f_o(o)$ , an earlier result says that  $v'$  satisfies the simple security condition.

# Proof

- Consider the \*-property.
  - Either  $s_2 \in S_T$  or  $f_c(s) \text{ dom } f_o(o)$  from the definition of *get-read*
  - If  $s_2 \in S_T$ , then  $s_2$  is trusted, so \*-property holds by definition of trusted and  $S_T$ .
  - If  $f_c(s) \text{ dom } f_o(o)$ , an earlier result says that  $v'$  satisfies the simple security condition.



# Proof

- Consider the discretionary security property.
  - Conditions in the *get-read* rule require  $\underline{r} \in m[s, o]$  and either  $b' - b = \emptyset$  or  $\{ (s_2, o, \underline{r}) \}$
  - If  $b' - b = \emptyset$ , then  $\{ (s_2, o, \underline{r}) \} \in b$ , so  $v = v'$ , proving that  $v'$  satisfies the simple security condition.
  - If  $b' - b = \{ (s_2, o, \underline{r}) \}$ , then  $\{ (s_2, o, \underline{r}) \} \notin b$ , an earlier result says that  $v'$  satisfies the ds-property.

# *give-read* Rule

- Request  $r = (s_1, \textit{give}, s_2, o, \underline{r})$ 
  - $s_1$  gives (request to give)  $s_2$  the (discretionary) right to read  $o$
  - Rule: can be done if giver can alter parent of object
    - If object or parent is root of hierarchy, special authorization required
- Useful definitions
  - $\textit{root}(o)$ : root object of hierarchy  $h$  containing  $o$
  - $\textit{parent}(o)$ : parent of  $o$  in  $h$  (so  $o \in h(\textit{parent}(o))$ )
  - $\textit{canallow}(s, o, v)$ :  $s$  specially authorized to grant access when object or parent of object is root of hierarchy
  - $m \wedge m[s, o] \leftarrow \underline{r}$ : access control matrix  $m$  with  $\underline{r}$  added to  $m[s, o]$

# *give-read* Rule

- Rule is  $\rho_6(r, v)$ :
  - if**  $(r \neq \Delta(\rho_6))$  **then**  $\rho_6(r, v) = (\underline{j}, v)$ ;
  - else if**  $([o \neq \text{root}(o)$  **and**  $\text{parent}(o) \neq \text{root}(o)$  **and**  $\text{parent}(o) \in b(s_1:\underline{w})]$  **or**  
 $[\text{parent}(o) = \text{root}(o)$  **and**  $\text{canallow}(s_1, o, v)$  ] **or**  
 $[o = \text{root}(o)$  **and**  $\text{canallow}(s_1, o, v)$  ])
  - then**  $\rho_6(r, v) = (y, (b, m \wedge m[s_2, o] \leftarrow \underline{r}, f, h))$ ;
  - else**  $\rho_1(r, v) = (\underline{n}, v)$ ;

# Security of Rule

- The *give-read* rule preserves the simple security condition, the \*-property, and the ds-property
  - Proof: Let  $v$  satisfy all conditions. Let  $\rho_1(r, v) = (d, v')$ . If  $v' = v$ , result is trivial. So let  $v' = (b, m[s_2, o] \leftarrow \underline{r}, f, h)$ . So  $b' = b, f' = f, m[x, y] = m'[x, y]$  for all  $x \in S$  and  $y \in O$  such that  $x \neq s$  and  $y \neq o$ , and  $m[s, o] \subseteq m'[s, o]$ . Then by earlier result,  $v'$  satisfies the simple security condition, the \*-property, and the ds-property.