ECS 235B Module 23 Trust Models

Trust Models

- Integrity models state conditions under which changes preserve a set of properties
 - So deal with the *preservation* of trustworthiness
- Trust models deal with confidence one can have in the initial values or settings
 - So deal with the initial evaluation of whether data can be trusted

Definition of Trust

A trusts B if A believes, with a level of subjective probability, that B will perform a particular action, both before the action can be monitored (or independently of the capacity of being able to monitor it) and in a context in which it affects Anna's own action.

- Includes subjective nature of trust
- Captures idea that trust comes from a belief in what we do not monitor
- Leads to transitivity of trust

Transitivity of Trust

Transitivity of trust: if A trusts B and B trusts C, then A trusts C

- Not always; depends on A's assessment of B's judgment
- Conditional transitivity of trust: A trusts C when
 - B recommends C to A;
 - A trusts B's recommendations;
 - A can make judgments about B's recommendations; and
 - Based on B's recommendation, A may trust C less than B does
- Direct trust: A trusts C because of A's observations and interactions
- Indirect trust: A trusts C because A accepts B's recommendation

Types of Beliefs Underlying Trust

- Competence: A believes B competent to aid A in reaching goal
- Disposition: A believes B will actually do what A needs to reach goal
- Dependence: A believes she needs what B will do, depends on what B will do, or it's better to rely on B than not
- Fulfillment: A believes goal will be reached
- Willingness: A believes B has decided to do what A wants

Evaluating Arguments about Trust (con't)

- Persistence: A believes B will not change B's mind before doing what A wants
- Self-confidence: A believes that B knows B can take the action A wants
- *Majority behavior*: A's belief that most people from B's community are trustworthy
- Prudence: Not trusting B poses unacceptable risk to A
- Pragmatism: A's current interests best served by trusting B

Trust Management

- Use a language to express relationships about trust, allowing us to reason about trust
 - Evaluation mechanisms take data, trust relationships and provide a measure of trust about the entity or whether an action should or should not be taken
- Two basic forms
 - Policy-based trust management
 - Reputation-based trust management

Policy-Based Trust Management

- Credentials instantiate policy rules
 - Credentials are data, so they too may be input to the rules
 - Trusted third parties often vouch for credentials
- Policy rules expressed in a policy language
 - Different languages for different goals
 - Expressiveness of language determines the policies it can express

Example: Keynote

- Basic units
 - Assertions: describe actions allowed to possessors of credentials
 - Policy: statements about policy
 - Credential: statements about credentials
 - Action environment: attributes describing action associated with credentials
- Evaluator: takes set of policy assertions, set of credentials, action environment and determines if proposed action is consistent with policy

 Consider email domain: policy assertion authorizes holder of mastercred for all actions:

```
Authorizer: "POLICY"
  Licensees: "mastercred"

    Credential assertion:

  KeyNote-Version: 2
  Local-Constants: Alice="cred1234", Bob="credABCD"
  Authorizer: "authcred"
  Licensees: Alice | Bob
  Conditions: (app domain == "RFC822-EMAIL") &&
                 (address ~= "^.*@keynote\\.ucdavis\\.edu$")
  Signature: "signed"
Compliance Value Set: { " MIN TRUST", " MAX TRUST" }
```

Example: Results

• Evaluator given action environment:

```
_ACTION_AUTHORIZERS = "Alice"
app_domain = "RFC822-EMAIL"
address = "snoopy@keynote.ucdavis.edu"
```

it satisfies policy, so returns MAX TRUST

Evaluator given action environment:

```
_ACTION_AUTHORIZERS = "Bob"
app_domain = "RFC822-EMAIL"
address = "opus@admin.ucdavis.edu"
```

it does not satisfy policy, so returns _MIN_TRUST

• Consider separation of duty: policy assertion delegates authority to pay invoices to entity with credential "fundmgrcred":

```
Authorizer: "POLICY"
   Licensee: "fundmgtcred"
   Conditions: (app domain == "INVOICE" && @dollars < 10000)

    Credential assertion (requires 2 signatures on any expenditure):

   KeyNote-Version: 2
   Comment: This credential specifies a spending policy
   Authorizer: "authored"
   Licensees: 2-of("cred1", "cred2", "cred3", "cred4", "cred5")
   Conditions: (app domain=="INVOICE") # note nested clauses
                   -> { (@dollars) < 2500) -> "Approve";
                         (@dollars < 7500) -> "ApproveAndLog"; };
   Signature: "signed"

    Compliance Value Set: {"Reject", "ApproveAndLog", "Approve" }
```

Example 2: Results

• Evaluator given action environment:

```
_ACTION_AUTHORIZERS = "cred1,cred4" app_domain = "INVOICE" dollars = "1000"
```

it satisfies first clause of condition, and so policy, so returns Approve

Evaluator given action environment:

```
_ACTION_AUTHORIZERS = "cred1" app_domain = "INVOICE" dollars = "1500"
```

it does not satisfy policy as too few Licensees, so returns Reject

Example 2: Results

Evaluator given action environment:

```
_ACTION_AUTHORIZERS = "cred1,cred2"
app_domain = "INVOICE"
dollars = "3541"
```

it satisfies second clause of condition, and so policy, so returns ApproveAndLog

• Evaluator given action environment:

```
_ACTION_AUTHORIZERS = "cred1,cred5"
app_domain = "INVOICE"
dollars = "8000"
```

it does not satisfy policy as amount too large, so returns Reject

Reputation-Based Trust Management

- Use past behavior, information from other sources, to determine whether to trust an entity
- Some models distinguish between direct, indirect trust
- Trust category, trust values, agent's identification form reputation
- Recommendation is trust information containing at least 1 reputation
- Systems use many different types of metrics
 - Statistical models
 - Belief models (probabilities may not sum to 1, due to uncertainty in belief)
 - Fuzzy models (reasoning involves degrees of trustworthiness)

- Direct trust: -1 (untrustworthy), 1 to 4 (degrees of trust, increasing), 0 (canot make trust judgment)
- Indirect trust: -1, 0 (same as for direct trust), 1 to 4 (how close the judgment of recommender is to the entity being recommended to)
- Formula:

$$t(T, P) = tv(T) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{tv(R_i)}{4}$$

where T is entity of concern, P trust path, tv(x) trust value of x, t(T,P) overall trust in T based on trust path P

- Amy wants Boris' recommendation about Danny so she asks him
 - Amy trusts Boris' recommendations with trust value 2 as his judgment is somewhat close to hers
- Boris doesn't know Danny, so he asks Carole
 - He trusts her recommendations with trust value 3
- Carole believes Danny is above average programmer, so she replies with a recommendation of 3
- Boris adds this to the end of the recommendation
- P is Amy—Boris—Carole—Danny, so R_1 = Boris, R_2 = Carole, T = Danny, so

$$T("Danny", P) = 3 \times \frac{2}{4} \times \frac{3}{4} = 1.125$$

- PeerTrust uses metric based on complaints
- $u \in P$ is a node in a peer-to-peer network
- $p(u, t) \in P$ is node that u interacts with in transaction t
- S(u,t) is amount of satisfaction u gets from p(u,t)
- I(u) is total number of transactions
- Trust value of u: $T(u) = \sum_{t=1}^{I(u)} S(u,t)Cr(p(u,t))$
- Credibility of node x's feedback: $Cr(x) = \sum_{t=1}^{I(x)} S(x,t) \frac{T(p(x,t))}{\sum_{y=1}^{I(x)} I(x)T(p(x,y))}$
- So credibility of x depends on prior trust values

Key Points

- Integrity policies deal with trust
 - As trust is hard to quantify, these policies are hard to evaluate completely
 - Look for assumptions and trusted users to find possible weak points in their implementation
- Biba based on multilevel integrity
- Clark-Wilson focuses on separation of duty and transactions

Quiz

Do systems instantiating policy-based trust models ever rely on a reputation-based trust model?

- 1. No, the two are completely independent
- 2. Yes; if credentials are assigned, they are often assigned based on the reputation of the subject of the credential
- 3. It's the other way around; reputation-based trust models rely on policy-based trust models to define the formulae that compute the degree of trust