

January 12, 2023 Outline

Reading: text, §2, 3.1–3.4.4, [1,2]

Assignments: Homework #1, due January 24
Project Selection, due January 26

1. Attribute-Based Access Control Matrix [1]
 - (a) Attributes
 - (b) Predicates
 - (c) Modified primitive operations
 - (d) Commands
2. What is the safety question?
 - (a) An unauthorized state is one in which a generic right r could be leaked into an entry in the ACM that did not previously contain r . An initial state is safe for r if it cannot lead to a state in which r could be leaked.
 - (b) Question: in a given arbitrary protection system, is safety decidable?
3. Mono-operational case: there is an algorithm that decides whether a given mono-operational system and initial state is safe for a given generic right.
4. General case: It is undecidable whether a given state of a given protection system is safe for a given generic right. [2]
 - (a) Approach: represent Turing machine tape as access control matrix, transitions as commands
 - (b) Reduce halting problem to it
5. Related results
 - (a) The set of unsafe systems is recursively enumerable
 - (b) Monotonicity: no *delete* or *destroy* primitive operations
 - (c) The safety question for biconditional monotonic protection systems is undecidable.
 - (d) The safety question for monoconditional monotonic protection systems is decidable.
 - (e) The safety question for monoconditional protection systems without the *destroy* primitive operation is decidable.
6. Take-Grant Protection Model
 - (a) Counterpoint to HRU result
 - (b) Symmetry of take and grant rights
 - (c) Islands (maximal subject-only *tg*-connected subgraphs)
 - (d) Bridges (as a combination of terminal and initial spans)
7. Sharing
 - (a) Definition: $\text{can}\bullet\text{share}(\alpha, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, G_0)$ true iff there exists a sequence of protection graphs G_0, \dots, G_n such that $G_0 \vdash^* G_n$ using only take, grant, create, remove rules and in G_n , there is an edge from \mathbf{x} to \mathbf{y} labeled α
 - (b) Theorem: $\text{can}\bullet\text{share}(r, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, G_0)$ iff there is an edge from \mathbf{x} to \mathbf{y} labeled r in G_0 , or all of the following hold:
 - i. there is a vertex \mathbf{y}' with an edge from \mathbf{y}' to \mathbf{y} labeled r ;
 - ii. there is a subject \mathbf{y}'' which terminally spans to \mathbf{y}' , or $\mathbf{y}'' = \mathbf{y}'$;
 - iii. there is a subject \mathbf{x}' which initially spans to \mathbf{x} , or $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x}$; and
 - iv. there is a sequence of islands I_1, \dots, I_n connected by bridges for which $\mathbf{x}' \in I_1$ and $\mathbf{y}' \in I_n$.
8. Model Interpretation
 - (a) ACM very general, broadly applicable; Take-Grant more specific, can model fewer situations

(b) Example: shared buffer managed by trusted third party

9. $\text{can_steal}(r, x, y, G_0)$ definition and theorem

10. Conspiracy

(a) What is of interest?

(b) Access, deletion sets

(c) Conspiracy graph

(d) Number of conspirators

11. Schematic Protection Model

(a) Protection type, ticket, function, link predicate, filter function

(b) Take-Grant as an instance of SPM

(c) Create rules and attenuation

References

- [1] X. Zhang, Y. Li, and D. Nalla, "An Attribute-Based Access Control Matrix Model," *Proceedings of the 2005 ACM Symposium on Applied Computing* pp. 359–363 (Mar. 2005); DOI: 10.1145/1066677.1066760.
- [2] M. Tripunitara and N. Li, "The Foundational Work of Harrison-Ruzzo-Ullman Revisited," *IEEE Transactions on Dependable and Secure Computing* **10**(1) pp. 280–309 (Jan. 2013); DOI: 10.1109/TDSC.2012.77.