

ECS 235B Module 38

Generalized Noninterference

Policies Changing Over Time

- Problem: previous analysis assumes static system
 - In real life, ACM changes as system commands issued
- Example: $w \in C^*$ leads to current state
 - $cando(w, s, z)$ holds if s can execute z in current state
 - Condition noninterference on $cando$
 - If $\neg cando(w, \text{Lara}, \text{"write } f\text{"})$, Lara can't interfere with any other user by writing file f

Generalize Noninterference

- $G \subseteq S$ set of subjects, $A \subseteq Z$ set of commands, p predicate over elements of C^*
- $c_s = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in C^*$
- $\pi''(v) = v$
- $\pi''((c_1, \dots, c_n)) = (c_1', \dots, c_n')$, where
 - $c_i' = v$ if $p(c_1', \dots, c_{i-1}')$ and $c_i = (s, z)$ with $s \in G$ and $z \in A$
 - $c_i' = c_i$ otherwise

Intuition

- $\pi''(c_s) = c_s$
- But if p holds, and element of c_s involves both command in A and subject in G , replace corresponding element of c_s with empty command ν
 - Just like deleting entries from c_s as $\pi_{A,G}$ does earlier

Noninterference

- $G, G' \subseteq S$ sets of subjects, $A \subseteq Z$ set of commands, p predicate over C^*
- Users in G executing commands in A are *noninterfering with users in G'* under condition p iff, for all $c_s \in C^*$ and for all $s \in G'$, $proj(s, c_s, \sigma_i) = proj(s, \pi''(c_s), \sigma_i)$
 - Written $A, G :| G'$ if p

Example

- From earlier one, simple security policy based on noninterference:

$$\forall (s \in S) \forall (z \in Z) [\{z\}, \{s\} : | S \text{ if } \neg \text{cando}(w, s, z)]$$

- If subject can't execute command (the $\neg \text{cando}$ part) in any state, subject can't use that command to interfere with another subject

Another Example

- Consider system in which rights can be passed
 - $pass(s, z)$ gives s right to execute z
 - $w_n = v_1, \dots, v_n$ sequence of $v_i \in C^*$
 - $prev(w_n) = w_{n-1}; last(w_n) = v_n$

Policy

- No subject s can use z to interfere if, in previous state, s did not have right to z , and no subject gave it to s

$\{z\}, \{s\} : | S$

if $[\neg \text{cando}(\text{prev}(w), s, z) \wedge [\text{cando}(\text{prev}(w), s', \text{pass}(s, z)) \Rightarrow$
 $\neg \text{last}(w) = (s', \text{pass}(s, z))]]$

Effect

- Suppose $s_1 \in S$ can execute $pass(s_2, z)$
- For all $w \in C^*$, $cando(w, s_1, pass(s_2, z))$ holds
- Initially, $cando(v, s_2, z)$ false
- Let $z' \in Z$ be such that (s_3, z') noninterfering with (s_2, z)
 - So for each w_n with $v_n = (s_3, z')$, $cando(w_n, s_2, z) = cando(w_{n-1}, s_2, z)$

Effect

- Then policy says for all $s \in S$

$$\text{proj}(s, ((s_2, z), (s_1, \text{pass}(s_2, z)), (s_3, z'), (s_2, z)), \sigma_i) = \\ \text{proj}(s, ((s_1, \text{pass}(s_2, z)), (s_3, z'), (s_2, z)), \sigma_i)$$

- So s_2 's first execution of z does not affect any subject's observation of system