# ECS 235B Module 25 Constraint-Based Availability Models

#### Goals

- Ensure a resource can be accessed in a timely fashion
  - Called "quality of service"
  - "Timely fashion" depends on nature of resource, the goals of using it
- Closely related to safety and liveness
  - Safety: resource does not perform correctly the functions that client is expecting
  - Liveness: resource cannot be accessed

## Key Difference

- Mechanisms to support availability in general
  - Lack of availability assumes average case, follows a statistical model
- Mechanisms to support availability as security requirement
  - Lack of availability assumes worst case, adversary deliberately makes resource unavailable
  - Failures are non-random, may not conform to any useful statistical model

#### Deadlock

- A state in which some set of processes block each waiting for another process in set to take come action
  - Mutual exclusion: resource not shared
  - Hold and wait: process must hold resource and block, waiting other needed resources to become available
  - No preemption: resource being held cannot be released
  - *Circular wait*: set of entities holding resources such that each process waiting for another process in set to release resources
- Usually not due to an attack

### Approaches to Solving Deadlocks

- Prevention: prevent 1 of the 4 conditions from holding
  - Do not acquire resources until all needed ones are available
  - When needing a new resource, release all held
- Avoidance: ensure process stays in state where deadlock cannot occur
  - Safe state: deadlock can not occur
  - Unsafe state: may lead to state in which deadlock can occur
- Detection: allow deadlocks to occur, but detect and recover

#### Denial of Service

- Occurs when a group of authorized users of a service make that service unavailable to a (disjoint) group of authorized users for a period of time exceeding a defined maximum waiting time
  - First "group of authorized users" here is group of users with access to service, whether or not the security policy grants them access
  - Often abbreviated "DoS" or "DOS"
- Assumes that, in the absence of other processes, there are enough resources
  - Otherwise problem is not solvable unless more resources created
  - Inadequate resources is another type of problem

#### Components of DoS Model

- Waiting time policy: controls the time between a process requesting a resource and being allocated that resource
  - Denial of service occurs when this waiting time exceeded
  - Amount of time depends on environment, goals
- User agreement: establishes constraints that process must meet in order to access resource
  - Here, "user" means a process
  - These ensure a process will receive service within the waiting time

## Constraint-Based Model (Yu-Gligor)

- Framed in terms of users accessing a server for some services
- User agreement: describes properties that users of servers must meet
- Finite waiting time policy: ensures no user is excluded from using resource

#### User Agreement

- Set of constraints designed to prevent denial of service
- $S_{sea}$  sequence of all possible invocations of a service
- $U_{seq}$  set of sequences of all possible invocations by a user
- $U_{li,seq} \subseteq U_{seq}$  that user  $U_i$  can invoke
  - C set of operations  $U_i$  can perform to consume service
  - P set of operations to produce service user  $U_i$  consumes
  - p < c means operation  $p \in P$  must precede operation  $c \in C$
  - $A_i$  set of operations allowed for user  $U_i$
  - $R_i$  set of relations between every pair of allowed operations for  $U_i$

## Example

#### Mutually exclusive resource

- *C* = { acquire }
- *P* = { *release* }
- For  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ,  $A_i = \{ acquire_i, release_i \}$  for i = 1, 2
- For  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ,  $R_i = \{ (acquire_i < release_i) \}$  for i = 1, 2

### Sequences of Operations

- $U_i(k)$  initial subsequence of  $U_i$  of length k
  - $n_o(U_i(k))$  number of times operation o occurs in  $U_i(k)$
- $U_i(k)$  safe if the following 2 conditions hold:
  - if  $o \in U_{i,seq}$ , then  $o \in A_i$ ; and
    - That is, if  $U_i$  executes o, it must be an allowed operation for  $U_i$
  - for all k, if  $(o < o') \in R_i$ , then  $n_o(U_i(k)) \ge n_{o'}(U_i(k))$ 
    - That is, if one operation precedes another, the first one must occur more times than the second

#### Resources of Services

- $s \in S_{seq}$  possible sequence of invocations of services
- s blocks on condition c
  - May be waiting for service to become available, or processing some response, etc.
- $o_i^*(c)$  represents operation  $o_i$  blocked, waiting for c to become true
  - When execution results,  $o_i(c)$  represents operation
  - Note that when c becomes true,  $o_i^*(c)$  may not resume immediately

#### Resources of Services

- s(0) initial subsequence of s up to operation  $o_i^*(c)$
- s(k) subsequence of operations between  $(k-1)^{st}$ ,  $k^{th}$  time c becomes true after  $o_i^*(c)$
- $o_i^*(c) \rightarrow s(k) o_i(c)$ :  $o_i$  blocks waiting on c at end of s(0), resumes operation at end of s(k)
- $S_{seq}$  live if for every  $o_i^*(c)$  there is a set of subsequences s(0), ..., s(k) such that it is initial subsequence of some  $s \in S_{seq}$  and  $o_i^*(c) \rightarrow s(k) o_i(c)$

#### Example

- Mutually exclusive resource; consider sequence
  - (acquire<sub>i</sub>, release<sub>i</sub>, acquire<sub>i</sub>, acquire<sub>i</sub>, release<sub>i</sub>)

with  $acquire_i$ ,  $release_i \in A_i$ ,  $(acquire_i, release_i) \in R_i$ ;  $o = acquire_i$ ,  $o' = release_i$ 

- $U_i(1) = (acquire_i) \Rightarrow n_o(U_i(1)) = 1, n_{o'}(U_i(1)) = 0$
- $U_i(2) = (acquire_i, release_i) \Rightarrow n_o(U_i(2)) = 1, n_{o'}(U_i(2)) = 1$
- $U_i(3) = (acquire_i, release_i, acquire_i) \Rightarrow n_o(U_i(3)) = 2, n_{o'}(U_i(3)) = 1$
- $U_i(4) = (acquire_i, release_i, acquire_i, acquire_i) \Rightarrow n_o(U_i(4)) = 3, n_o'(U_i(4)) = 1$
- $U_i(5) = (acquire_i, release_i, acquire_i, acquire_i, release_i) \Rightarrow$

$$n_o(U_i(5)) = 3, n_{o'}(U_i(5)) = 2$$

• As  $n_o(U_i(k)) \ge n_{o'}(U_i(k))$  for k = 1, ..., 5, the sequence is safe

## Example (con't)

- Let c be true whenever resource can be released
  - That is, initially and whenever a release, operation is performed
- Consider sequence:  $(acquire_1, acquire_2^*(c), release_1, release_2, ..., acquire_k, acquire_{k+1}(c), release_k, release_{k+1}, ...)$
- For all  $k \ge 1$ ,  $acquire_i^*(c) \rightarrow s(1) acquire_{k+1}(c)$ , so this is live sequence
  - Here,  $acquire_{k+1}(c)$  occurs between  $release_k$  and  $release_{k+1}$

#### Expressing User Agreements

- Use temporal logics
- Symbols
  - □: henceforth (the predicate is true and will remain true)
  - ♦: eventually (the predicate is either true now, or will become true in the future)
  - $\rightarrow$ : will lead to (if the first part is true, the second part will eventually become true); so  $A \rightarrow B$  is shorthand for  $A \Rightarrow \Diamond B$

## Example

- Acquiring and releasing mutually exclusive resource type
- User agreement: once a process is blocked on an acquire operation, enough release operations will release enough resources of that type to allow blocked process to proceed

service resource\_allocator

#### **User agreement**

 $in(acquire) \rightarrow ((\Box \diamondsuit (\#active\_release > 0) \lor (free \ge acquire.n))$ 

 When a process issues an acquire request, at some later time at least 1 release operation occurs, and enough resources will be freed for the requesting process to acquire the needed resources

## Finite Waiting Time Policy

- Fairness policy: prevents starvation; ensures process using a resource will not block indefinitely if given the opportunity to progress
- Simultaneity policy: ensures progress; provides opportunities process needs to use resource
- *User agreement*: see earlier
- If these three hold, no process will wait an indefinite time before accessing and using the resource

### Example

Continuing example ... these and above user agreement ensure no indefinite blocking

#### sharing policies

#### fairness

```
(at(acquire) \land \Box \diamondsuit ((free \ge acquire.n) \land (\#active = 0))) \rightarrow after(acquire)
(at(release) \land \Box \diamondsuit (\#active = 0)) \rightarrow after(release)
```

#### simultaneity

```
(in(acquire) \land (\Box \diamondsuit (free \ge acquire.n)) \land (\Box \diamondsuit (\#active = 0))) \Rightarrow
((free \ge acquire.n) \land (\#active = 0))
```

 $(in(release) \land \Box \diamondsuit (\#active\_release > 0)) \rightarrow (free \ge acquire.n)$ 

### Service Specification

- Interface operations
- Private operations not available outside service
- Resource constraints
- Concurrency constraints
- Finite waiting time policy

#### Example:

• Interface operations of the resource allocation/deallocation example interface operations

```
acquire(n: units)
  exception conditions: quota[id] < own[id] + n
  effects: free' = free - n
        own[id]' = own[id] + n

release(n: units)
  exception conditions: n > own[id]
  effects: free' = free + n
        own[id]' = own[id] - n
```

## Example (con't)

Resource constraints of the resource allocation/deallocation example resource constraints

- 1.  $\Box$ ((free  $\geq$  0)  $\land$  (free  $\leq$  size))
- 2.  $(\forall id) [\Box(own[id] \ge 0) \land (own[id] \le quota[id]))]$
- 3.  $(free = N) \Rightarrow ((free = N) UNTIL (after(acquire) \lor after(release)))$
- 4.  $(\forall id) [(own[id] = M) \Rightarrow ((own[id] = M) UNTIL (after(acquire) \lor after(release)))]$

## Example (con't)

Concurrency constraints of the resource allocation/deallocation example

#### concurrency constraints

- 1.  $\square$ (#active  $\leq$  1)
- 2.  $(\#active = 1) \rightarrow (\#active = 1)$

#### Denial of Service

- Service specification policies, user agreements prevent denial of service if enforced
- These do not prevent a long wait time; they simply ensure the wait time is finite