

Lecture 4, April 6, 2026

Principles of Secure Design

- Simplicity, restriction
- Principles
 - Least Privilege
 - Fail-Safe Defaults
 - Economy of Mechanism
 - Complete Mediation
 - Open Design
 - Separation of Privilege
 - Least Common Mechanism
 - Least Astonishment

Overview

- **Simplicity**
 - Less to go wrong
 - Fewer possible inconsistencies
 - Easy to understand
- **Restriction**
 - Minimize access
 - Inhibit communication

Open Design

- Security should not depend on secrecy of design or implementation
 - Popularly misunderstood to mean that source code should be public
 - “Security through obscurity”
 - Does not apply to information such as passwords or cryptographic keys
 - Plan for compromise of anything kept secret

Example: Open Design

- DVD CSS
 - k_a authentication key
 - k_d disk key
 - $E(k_d, k_{pi})$ encrypted disk key for device
- Algorithm
 - Considered a trade secret
 - Norwegians derived compatible algorithm, made it freely available
 - Lawsuit filed in California court
 - Court posted filings on Internet, unless sealed
 - DVD CCA filed affidavit with actual algorithm
 - *and forgot to ask judge to seal it until a day later*

k_a
$hash(k_d)$
$E(k_d, k_{p1})$
...
$E(k_d, k_{pn})$
$E(k_t, k_d)$

Separation of Privilege

- Require multiple conditions to grant privilege
 - Separation of duty
 - Defense in depth

Examples: Separation of Privilege

- Company checks over \$50,000 require 2 signatures
- FreeBSD: to become *root*, must meet 2 conditions
 - Know *root*'s password
 - Be a member of the *wheel* group (GID 0)

Least Common Mechanism

- Mechanisms should not be shared
 - Information can flow along shared channels
 - Covert channels
- Isolation
 - Virtual machines
 - Sandboxes

Examples: Least Common Mechanism

- Address Space Layout Randomization (ASLR)
 - Each instance of a program, when loaded in memory, has different addresses for functions
 - Attacker can't use information about one process' layout to attack another
- Site has only Windows 11 systems, all identical
 - So if attacker compromises 1, she can compromise all

Least Astonishment

- Security mechanisms should be designed so users understand why the mechanism works the way it does, and using mechanism is simple
 - Hide complexity introduced by security mechanisms
 - Ease of installation, configuration, use
 - Human factors critical here

Example: Least Astonishment

- Configuration file requires all times to be in minutes, except for one field that requires seconds
 - Actual instance: people often entered 0.5 (meaning 30 seconds) in the field
 - Program read the “0”, then stopped at the “.” as it ends an integer
 - Result: something that should have been flushed every 30 seconds was never flushed
- Hawai’i missile alert error

Related: Psychological Acceptability

- Security mechanisms should not add to difficulty of accessing resource
 - Idealistic, as most mechanisms add *some* difficulty
 - Even if only remembering a password
 - Principle of Least Astonishment accepts this
 - Asks whether the difficulty is unexpected or too much for relevant population of users

What Is Privacy?

- Many different definitions; this seems to be the best one
- Privacy is the ability to control who has access to your personal information, *and what they can do with that information*

Privacy by Design

- Privacy cannot be added but must be designed into systems
- Privacy is more than just compliance with regulatory frameworks
- Objective for individuals: ensure strong privacy and control over one's information (Cavoukian, 2010)
- Objective for organizations: gain a sustainable, competitive advantage (Cavoukian, 2010)
 - By providing protection for customers', and their own, data
- Terminology: data subject is the person that the data is about or refers to

Principles

- Proactive not reactive; preventive not remedial
- Privacy as the default setting
- Privacy embedded into design
- Full functionality — positive-sum, not zero-sum
- End-to-end security — full lifecycle protection
- Visibility and transparency — keep it open
- Respect for user privacy — keep it user-centric

Proactive not Reactive

- Rather than reacting to privacy violations or attempted privacy violations, work to prevent them
- Requires identifying risks and developing countermeasures
 - The risks are to the data subjects as well as the companies
- The idea is to design and implement privacy controls to anticipate and block violations rather than compensate for them afterwards

Privacy as the Default

- Privacy controls should need to be loosened, not tightened
 - This is "opt in" rather than "opt out"
- So if someone does nothing, their data should be private
- Example: only web cookies necessary for functionality should be provided by default; cookies for advertising and sharing information with third parties should not be used unless the user indicates acceptance

Privacy Embedded into Design

- Like security, include privacy considerations in the requirements, and throughout the life cycle of development, implementation, distribution, maintenance, and retirement
 - The last is usually overlooked but *is critical!*
- Adding privacy after the steps does not work well
 - Privacy needs to be integrated into the system to ensure consistency and effectiveness

Full Functionality

- Think of privacy as functionality, not in conflict with functionality
 - "Zero sum" means you get privacy or you get functionality but not both
 - Better view: aim for both
 - Document any trade-offs, the reasons for the decisions made, and the expected effects of those decisions

End-to-End Security

- Privacy must be considered throughout the entire life cycle of the *data*
- Note the "life cycle" is that of the data and not of the system
 - Data may (and usually will) last beyond the system or software lifetime
- Data must be securely collected, saved, used, and when no longer needed, erased

Visibility and Transparency

- Everyone involved in the collection, storage, use, and erasing of the data must know what happens at each step
 - Particularly true of the uses to which the data may be put
 - This also includes data subjects (who the data is about)
- In particular, data subjects must know:
 - what data is being collected;
 - how the data will be used;
 - And ideally how it could be used!
 - how and where the data is to be stored; and
 - how the data will be disposed of when no longer needed.

Respect for User Privacy

- Whenever possible, obtain user consent before collecting data
- Do not collect data unless it will be used
 - Minimizes risk, as compromise of data will only expose a minimum of private data
- Be accurate
 - Incorrect data can cause severe problems including false accusations, etc.
- Allow data subjects access to their data
- Make opting in or out simple and easy to understand

Access Control Matrix

- Abstraction that captures the protection state of the system
- Used for theoretical analyses
- Basis for access control mechanisms used in practice
 - More on this later

Description

objects (entities)

	O_1	...	O_m	S_1	...	S_n
s_1						
s_2						
...						
s_n						

subjects

- Subjects $S = \{ s_1, \dots, s_n \}$
- Objects $O = \{ o_1, \dots, o_m \}$
- Rights $R = \{ r_1, \dots, r_k \}$
- Entries $A[s_i, o_j] \subseteq R$
- $A[s_i, o_j] = \{ r_x, \dots, r_y \}$ means subject s_i has rights r_x, \dots, r_y over object o_j

Example 1

- Processes p, q
- Files f, g
- Rights r, w, x, a, o

	f	g	p	q
p	rwo	r	$rwxo$	w
q	a	ro	r	$rwxo$

Example 2

- Host names *telegraph*, *nob*, *toadflax*
- Rights *own*, *ftp*, *nfs*, *mail*

	<i>telegraph</i>	<i>nob</i>	<i>toadflax</i>
<i>telegraph</i>	<i>own</i>	<i>ftp</i>	<i>ftp</i>
<i>nob</i>		<i>ftp, mail, nfs, own</i>	<i>ftp, nfs, mail</i>
<i>toadflax</i>		<i>ftp, mail</i>	<i>ftp, mail, nfs, own</i>

Example 3

- Procedures *inc_ctr*, *dec_ctr*, *manage*
- Variable *counter*
- Rights *+*, *-*, *call*

	<i>counter</i>	<i>inc_ctr</i>	<i>dec_ctr</i>	<i>manage</i>
<i>inc_ctr</i>	<i>+</i>			
<i>dec_ctr</i>	<i>-</i>			
<i>manager</i>		<i>call</i>	<i>call</i>	<i>call</i>

Boolean Expression Evaluation

- ACM controls access to database fields
 - Subjects have attributes
 - Verbs define type of access
 - Rules associated with objects, verb pair
- Subject attempts to access object
 - Rule for object, verb evaluated, grants or denies access

Example

- Subject annie
 - Attributes *role* (artist), *group* (creative)
- Verb paint
 - Default 0 (deny unless explicitly granted)
- Object picture
 - Rule:
paint: 'artist' in subject.role and
'creative' in subject.groups and
time.hour ≥ 0 and time.hour ≤ 4

ACM at 3AM and 10AM

At 3AM, time condition met
ACM is:

... picture ...

... annie ...			
	paint		

At 10AM, time condition not met
ACM is:

... picture ...

... annie ...			