

## Tentative Syllabus

This syllabus is *tentative* and will undoubtedly continue to change as the quarter progresses. If there is a topic you're interested in but not shown, please let me know; I may well change things to cover it. All readings are from the text unless otherwise indicated.

<b>Week 1:</b>	<b>Dates:</b> Sep 24, Sep 26	
<b>Lec 1–2</b>	<b>Topics:</b> Introduction, principles of secure design, threats and policies <b>Reading:</b> <i>text</i> , §1, 14; <i>papers</i> [Sm12,MA19]	
<b>Week 2:</b>	<b>Dates:</b> Sep 29, Oct 1, Oct 3	
<b>Lec 3–5</b>	<b>Topics:</b> Basic policy models: Bell-LaPadula, Biba, Clark-Wilson <b>Reading:</b> <i>text</i> , §5.1–5.2.2, 5.3, 6.2, 6.4; <i>paper</i> [Sa93]	
<b>Week 3:</b>	<b>Dates:</b> Oct 6, Oct 8, Oct 10	
<b>Lec 6–8</b>	<b>Topics:</b> Symmetric and public key cryptography <b>Reading:</b> <i>text</i> , §10 <b>Due:</b> Oct 8: homework 1; Oct 10: project question	
<b>Week 4:</b>	<b>Dates:</b> Oct 13, Oct 15, Oct 17	
<b>Lec 9–11</b>	<b>Topics:</b> Protocols, authentication <b>Reading:</b> <i>text</i> , §11.1, 12.1, 12.4, 12.5, 13; <i>papers</i> [Ke93]	
<b>Week 5:</b>	<b>Dates:</b> Oct 20, Oct 22, Oct 24	[No class on Oct 24]
<b>Lec 12–14</b>	<b>Topics:</b> Access control mechanisms, confinement problem, reference monitor <b>Reading:</b> <i>text</i> , §16.1–16.3, 18.1–18.2, 20.1.2.2; <i>paper</i> [HS16] <b>Due:</b> Oct 22: homework 2	
<b>Week 6:</b>	<b>Dates:</b> Oct 27, Oct 29, Oct 31	
<b>Lec 15–17</b>	<b>Topics:</b> Confinement problem, vulnerabilities <b>Reading:</b> <i>text</i> , §18.2, 24.3–24.4; <i>papers</i> [La73,Li75]	
<b>Week 7:</b>	<b>Dates:</b> Nov 3, Nov 5, Nov 7	
<b>Lec 18–20</b>	<b>Topics:</b> Elections and e-voting, malware <b>Reading:</b> <i>text</i> , §23.6.2–23.7, 23.9, 26.1–26.3, 28.1, 28.3; <i>papers</i> [Bi00,O+17] <b>Due:</b> Nov 5: homework 3; Nov 7: project progress report	
<b>Week 8:</b>	<b>Dates:</b> Nov 10, Nov 12, Nov 14	[Nov 11 is Veterans Day, a university holiday]
<b>Lec 20–21</b>	<b>Topics:</b> Malware, penetration testing, <b>Reading:</b> <i>text</i> , §24.1–24.2, 23.1–23.6.1	
<b>Week 9:</b>	<b>Dates:</b> Nov 17, Nov 19, Nov 21	
<b>Lec 22–24</b>	<b>Topics:</b> Network security, firewalls, intrusion detection, entropy, information flow <b>Reading:</b> <i>text</i> , §23.9.7, C, 17.1, 17.3–17.6; <i>papers</i> [B+07, De87] <b>Due:</b> Nov 19: homework 4	
<b>Week 10:</b>	<b>Dates:</b> Nov 24, Nov 26, Nov 28	[Nov 27–28 is Thanksgiving, a university holiday]
<b>Lec 25–26</b>	<b>Topics:</b> Information flow, identity <b>Reading:</b> <i>text</i> , §15	
<b>Week 11:</b>	<b>Dates:</b> Dec 1, Dec 3, Dec 5	[Dec 5 is the last class]
<b>Lec 27–29</b>	<b>Topics:</b> Identity, anonymity, onion routing <b>Reading:</b> <i>text</i> , §15 <b>Due:</b> Dec 5: homework 5	
<b>Dec 9:</b>	<b>Due:</b> Completed project due	

### References

- [Bi00] M. Bishop, “Analysis of the ILOVEYOU Worm,” Unpublished paper, Dept. of Computer Science, University of California Davis, Davis, CA 95616 (May 5, 2000). Available on Canvas.
- [B+07] M. Backes, M. Dümuth, and D. Unruh, “Information Flow in the Peer-Reviewing Process (Extended Abstract),” *Proceedings of the 2007 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy* pp. 187–191 (May 2007). DOI: [10.1109/SP.2007.24](https://doi.org/10.1109/SP.2007.24)

- [De87] D. Denning, "An Intrusion-Detection Model," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering* **SE-13**(2) pp. 222–232 (Feb. 1987). DOI: [10.1109/TSE.1987.232894](https://doi.org/10.1109/TSE.1987.232894)
- [HS16] M. Heckman and R. Schell, "Using Proven Reference Monitor Patterns for Security Evaluation," *Information* **7**(2) pp. 23ff (Apr. 2016). DOI: [10.3390/info7020023](https://doi.org/10.3390/info7020023)
- [Ke93] S. Kent, "Internet Privacy Enhanced Mail," *Communications of the ACM* **36**(8) pp. 48–60 (Aug. 1993). DOI: [10.1145/163381.163390](https://doi.org/10.1145/163381.163390)
- [La73] B. Lampson "A Note on the Confinement Problem," *Communications of the ACM* **16**(10) pp. 63–65 (Oct. 1973) DOI: [10.1145/362375.362389](https://doi.org/10.1145/362375.362389)
- [Li75] S. Lipner, "A Comment on the Confinement Problem," *Proceedings of the Fifth ACM Symposium on Operating System Principles (SOSP '75)* pp. 192–196 (Nov. 1975). DOI: [10.1145/800213.806537](https://doi.org/10.1145/800213.806537)
- [MA19] M. Mesbah and M. Azer, "Cyber Threats and Policies for Industrial Control Systems," *Proceedings of the 2019 International Conference on Smart Applications, Communications and Networking (SmartNets)* (Dec. 2019). DOI: [10.1109/SmartNets48225.2019.9069761](https://doi.org/10.1109/SmartNets48225.2019.9069761)
- [O+17] L. Osterweil, M. Bishop, H. Conboy, H. Phan. B. Simidchieva, G. Avrunin, L. Clarke, and S. Peisert, "Iterative Analysis to Improve Key Properties of Critical Human-Intensive Processes: An Election Security Example," *ACM Transactions on Privacy and Security* **20**(2) pp. 5:1–5:31 (Mar. 2017). doi: [10.1145/3041041](https://doi.org/10.1145/3041041)
- [Sa93] R. Sandhu, "Lattice-Based Access Control Models," *IEEE Computer* **26**(11) pp. 9–19 (Nov. 1993). doi: [10.1109/2.241422](https://doi.org/10.1109/2.241422)
- [Sm12] R. Smith, "A Contemporary Look at Saltzer and Schroeder's 1975 Design Principles," *IEEE Security and Privacy* **10**(6) pp. 20–25 (Nov.-Dec. 2012). DOI: [10.1109/MSP.2012.85](https://doi.org/10.1109/MSP.2012.85)