

April 10, 2026 Outline

Reading: *text*, §5.2.3–5.4, 6.1

Due: Homework #1, due April 10; Project selection, due April 17

1. Bell-LaPadula: formal model
 - (a) Set of requests is R
 - (b) Set of decisions is D
 - (c) $W \subseteq R \times D \times V \times V$ is motion from one state to another.
 - (d) System $\Sigma(R, D, W, z_0) \subseteq X \times Y \times Z$ such that $(x, y, z) \in \Sigma(R, D, W, z_0)$ iff $(x_t, y_t, z_t, z_{t-1}) \in W$ for each $i \in T$; latter is an action of system
 - (e) $(s, o, p) \in S \times O \times P$ satisfies the simple security condition relative to f iff:
 - i. $p = \underline{e}$ or $p = \underline{a}$; or
 - ii. $p = \underline{r}$ or $p = \underline{w}$ and $f_s(s) \text{ dom } f_o(o)$
 - (f) Theorem: $\Sigma(R, D, W, z_0)$ satisfies the simple security condition for any initial state z_0 that satisfies the simple security condition iff W satisfies the following conditions for each action $(r_i, d_i, (b', m', f', h'), (b, m, f, h))$:
 - i. each $(s, o, x) \in b' - b$ satisfies the simple security condition relative to f' ; and
 - ii. if $(s, o, x) \in b$ does not satisfy the simple security condition relative to f' , then $(s, o, x) \notin b'$
 - (g) Let $b(s : p_1, \dots, p_n)$ be the set of all objects that s has p_1, \dots, p_n access to. Then state (b, m, f, h) satisfies the *-property iff for each $s \in S$ the following holds:
 - i. $b(s : \underline{a}) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow [\forall o \in b(s : \underline{a}) [f_o(o) \text{ dom } f_c(s)]]$;
 - ii. $b(s : \underline{w}) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow [\forall o \in b(s : \underline{w}) [f_o(o) = f_c(s)]]$; and
 - iii. $b(s : \underline{r}) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow [\forall o \in b(s : \underline{r}) [f_c(s) \text{ dom } f_o(o)]]$.
 - (h) Theorem: $\Sigma(R, D, W, z_0)$ satisfies the *-property relative to $S' \subseteq S$ for any initial state z_0 that satisfies the *-property relative to S' iff W satisfies the following conditions for each action $(r_i, d_i, (b', m', f', h'), (b, m, f, h))$:
 - i. for each $s \in S'$, any $(s, o, x) \in b' - b$ satisfies the *-property with respect to f' ; and
 - ii. for each $s \in S'$, if $(s, o, x) \in b$ does not satisfy the *-property with respect to f' , then $(s, o, x) \notin b'$
 - (i) State (b, m, f, h) satisfies the discretionary security property iff for each $(s, o, p) \in b$, then $p \in m[s, o]$.
 - (j) Theorem: $\Sigma(R, D, W, z_0)$ satisfies the discretionary security property for any initial state z_0 iff W satisfies the following conditions for each action $(r_i, d_i, (b', m', f', h'), (b, m, f, h))$:
 - i. each $(s, o, x) \in b' - b$ satisfies the discretionary security property; and
 - ii. if $(s, o, x) \in b$ does not satisfy the discretionary security property, then $(s, o, x) \notin b'$
 - (k) Theorem: $\Sigma(R, D, W, z_0)$ is a secure system if the initial state z_0 is a secure state and W satisfies the conditions for the above three theorems
2. Using the Bell-LaPadula model
 - (a) Define ssc-preserving, *-property-preserving, ds-property-preserving
 - (b) Define relation $W(\omega)$
 - (c) Show conditions under which rules are ssc-preserving, *-property-preserving, ds-property-preserving
 - (d) Show when adding a state preserves those properties
 - (e) Example instantiation: get-read for Multics
3. Tranquility
4. System Z and the controversy
5. Integrity policy requirements